arXiv:0904.2726v2 [math.DG] 24 Sep 2009

The diffeomorphism supergroup of a finite-dimensional supermanifold

Christoph Sachse[a], Christoph Wockel[b]

[a] Dept. of Mathematics, The University of Texas at Austin
1 University Station C1200, 78712 Austin, TX, USA
chsachse@googlemail.com

[b] Mathematisches Institut
Georg-August-Universität Göttingen
Bunsenstraße 3-5, D-37073 Göttingen, Germany
christoph@wockel.eu

Abstract

Using the categorical description of supergeometry we give an explicit construction of the diffeomorphism supergroup of a compact finite-dimensional supermanifold. The construction provides the diffeomorphism supergroup with the structure of a Fréchet supermanifold. In addition, we derive results about the structure of diffeomorphism supergroups.

1 Introduction

Groups of smooth diffeomorphisms are of great importance for numerous applications in geometry, global analysis and mathematical physics. To give these groups the structure of a Lie group is, however, often a quite non-trivial task due to the fact that in general one can only endow spaces of smooth maps with a Fréchet structure. In almost all cases of interest, Banach structures are unavailable (cf.[Nee06, Cor. IX.1.7] and [Omo78]). This makes for an analytically much more challenging situation.

While these difficulties have been overcome for ordinary smooth manifolds decades ago (cf. [Nee06] and references therein), no simi-

lar results are available yet for supermanifolds because a theory of infinite-dimensional supermanifolds has never been systematically developed. The foundation for such a theory has been laid by Molotkov already in 1984 [Mol84] but was not really appreciated at that time. We will follow this line of thought, building on the results of [Sac08], which works out a categorical description of supergeometry in detail. This description makes Banach- and Fréchet supermanifolds available, among other things.

In this article we show that the supergroup of diffeomorphisms of a compact finite-dimensional supermanifold can be given the structure of a Fréchet supermanifold, using the formalism of [Sac08]. To arrive at this assertion, we establish a structure theorem for diffeomorphism supergroups which shows that superdiffeomorphisms can be factorized in a particular way which allows to decompose the supergroup into a sequence of semidirect products. This enables us to treat the underlying group separately. Here is where the main analytic difficulties have to be overcome. The remaining part of the supergroup (the "higher points") is then easier to deal with.

2 Categorical description of supermanifolds

We will only give a very condensed review of the categorical description of supermanifolds. For more details see [Sac08] and [Mol84].

The main idea of this approach is to first set up a proper notion of a superset (as a functor) and then to develop all more advanced concepts from this basic notion. Recall that an ordinary set X can be described as $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathsf{Sets}}(\{*\}, X)$ where $\{*\}$ is a one-point set. Even more trivially, X can be viewed as a functor $\mathsf{Pt} \to \mathsf{Sets}$ (where Pt is a category with one element and its identity morphism) and a map is a natural transformation between two such functors.

From this point of view, a superset will be a functor from a category SPt of "superpoints" to Sets. Consequently, a supermanifold will be defined to be a superset, which is locally isomorphic to certain subfunctors of $\mathsf{SPt} \to \mathsf{Vect}$. The great advantage of this rather abstract formalism is that it can treat infinite-dimensional supermanifolds on the same footing as finite-dimensional ones, in contrast with the usual ringed-space approach.

2.1 The Category of supermanioflds

Throughout this article, the terms "super vector space" and $\mathbb{Z}_2$ graded vector space are used synonymously. On the level of vector spaces (or, more generally, modules over superrings) these two notions are identical. The difference lies in the braiding of these categories, i.e., in the notion of supercommutativity.

Definition 2.1. *The category* Gr *of finite-dimesnional Grassmann algebras has for each* $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ *an object* Λ_n*, which is the the (isomorphism class of any) free supercommutative algebra on* n *odd generators.*[1] *Morphisms in* Gr *are morphisms of* $\mathbb{Z}_2$*-graded algebras. The category* SPt *of finite-dimensional super points has objects* $\mathcal{P}(\Lambda_n) := (\{*\}, \Lambda_n)$*, i.e. the one-point space* $\{*\}$ *endowed with the structure sheaf* Λ_n *and morphisms* $(\mathrm{id}, \varphi^*) : (\{*\}, \Lambda_m) \to (\{*\}, \Lambda_n)$ *for* $\varphi : \Lambda_n \to \Lambda_m$ *a morphism in* Gr*.*

Obviously, SPt is dual to Gr and thus $\mathsf{Sets}^{\mathsf{SPt}^\circ} \cong \mathsf{Sets}^{\mathsf{Gr}}$.[2] With this said, the basic idea of "superification" is quite clear, one has to rephrase each classical concept in terms of the functor category $\mathsf{Sets}^{\mathsf{Gr}}$. The way how to achieve this can be subtle, though, because we have to make sure the resulting functors really describe the known super objects like, e.g., super vector spaces. Just like not all functors $\mathsf{C}^\circ \to \mathsf{Sets}$ describe objects of C, i.e., are representable, not all functors in $\mathsf{Sets}^{\mathsf{Gr}}$ of some given type will represent a super object. For example, not all functors $\mathsf{Gr} \to \mathsf{Vect}$ actually describe super vector spaces. Below we will briefly state which such functors are *superrepresentable*. For more details, the reader is referred to [Sac08] and [Mol84].

As a starting point one rephrases superalgebra as algebra in the functor category $\mathsf{Sets}^{\mathsf{Gr}}$. To each super vector space V one associates a functor $\overline{V} \in \mathsf{Sets}^{\mathsf{Gr}}$ as follows:

Example 2.2. *For each* $\mathbb{Z}_2$*-graded (= super) vector space* V *we obtain a functor* $\overline{V} : \mathsf{Gr} \to \mathsf{Sets}$*, defined by*

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{V} : \Lambda_n &\mapsto (\Lambda_n \otimes V)_{\bar{0}}, \\ \varphi : \Lambda_n \to \Lambda_m &\mapsto \varphi \otimes \mathrm{id}_V\big|_{\overline{V}(\Lambda_n)}. \end{aligned}$$

[1] i.e., $\Lambda_n \cong \Lambda^\bullet(\mathbb{R}^n) = \Lambda^{\mathrm{even}}(\mathbb{R}^n) \oplus \Lambda^{\mathrm{odd}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, which is $\mathbb{Z}_2$-graded and satisfies $v \wedge w = (-1)^{|v|\cdot|w|} w \wedge v$.

[2] The category of covariant functors $\mathsf{C} \to \mathsf{D}$ will be denoted as D^{C}.

This is a module over the superring $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$, obtained from plugging $\mathbb{R}$ into the above definition. Moreover, if $f : V_1 \times \ldots \times V_n \to V$ is a multilinear parity preserving map between super vector spaces, then we define a natural transformation

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{f} : \overline{V}_1 \times \ldots \overline{V}_n &\to \overline{V} \\ \overline{f}_\Lambda(\lambda_1 \otimes v_1, \ldots, \lambda_n \otimes v_n) &\mapsto \lambda_n \cdots \lambda_1 \otimes f(v_1, \ldots, v_n) \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

This results in a functor $\bar{\cdot} : \mathsf{SVect} \to \mathsf{Mod}_{\overline{\mathbb{R}}} \subset \mathsf{Sets}^{\mathsf{Gr}}$.

The functor $\bar{\cdot}$ can be shown to be fully faithful [Sac08, Cor. 3.2]. An object $\mathcal{V} \in \mathsf{Sets}^{\mathsf{Gr}}$ in the essential image of $\bar{\cdot}$ is called a superrepresentable $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$-module. These superrepresentable $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$-modules play the role in super-differential geometry that vector spaces play in ordinary differential geometry.

(Smooth) supermanifolds are now defined as functors $\mathsf{Gr} \to \mathsf{Sets}$ which are locally modeled on superrepresentable $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$-modules. Note that if we restrict $\bar{\cdot}$ to the category of locally convex vector spaces and continuous linear maps, then we can endow each of the vector spaces $\overline{V}(\Lambda_n)$ in the image of a functor $\overline{V}$ with a topology, because its definition only involves tensor products with the finite-dimensional vector spaces Λ_n. Moreover all induced maps $\overline{V}(\varphi)$ (for $\varphi : \Lambda_n \to \Lambda_m$ a morphism in Gr) become continuous, and $\overline{V}$ is actually an object of $\mathsf{Top}^{\mathsf{Gr}}$. The category $\mathsf{Top}^{\mathsf{Gr}}$ can be given a Grothendieck topology by pulling back the global classical topology on Top [Sac08]. In the following we will assume $\mathsf{Top}^{\mathsf{Gr}}$ and all its relevant subcategories to be endowed with this topology. The topology on $\mathsf{Top}^{\mathsf{Gr}}$ in particular provides the notion of an open subfunctor of a superrepresentable $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$-module. Note that the treatment of infinite-dimensional super manifolds is tacitly covered by this approach.

We will be particularly interested in the case where V has been endowed with the structure of a Fréchet space. Functors which are isomorphic to open subfunctors of such superrepresentable Fréchet $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$-modules will be called Fréchet superdomains. There is a natural notion of supersmooth morphisms between such superdomains [Sac08, Sect. 4.2], allowing for the following definition (cf. [Sac08, Sect. 4.4]).

Definition 2.3. *Denoting by* Man *the category of smooth Fréchet manifolds, a supermanifold $\mathcal{M}$ is a functor* $\mathsf{Gr} \to \mathsf{Man}$ *endowed with a maximal atlas. An atlas consists of*

- *an open cover $\{\mathcal{U}_\alpha \to \mathcal{M}\}_{\alpha \in A}$ by Fréchet superdomains such that*

- *each pullback* $\mathcal{U}_{\alpha\beta} = \mathcal{U}_\alpha \times_{\mathcal{M}} \mathcal{U}_\beta$ *is a superdomain and*
- *the canonical projections* $\Pi_{\alpha,\beta} : \mathcal{U}_{\alpha\beta} \to \mathcal{U}_\alpha, \mathcal{U}_\beta$ *are supersmooth.*

A morphism $\varphi : \mathcal{M} \to \mathcal{M}'$ *of supermanifolds is a natural transformation in* $\mathsf{Man}^{\mathsf{Gr}}$ *such that for every chart* $u : \mathcal{U} \to \mathcal{M}$ *and* $u' : \mathcal{U}' \to \mathcal{M}'$ *the diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathcal{U} \times_{\mathcal{M}'} \mathcal{U}' & & \xrightarrow{\quad\pi'\quad} & & \mathcal{U}' \\ \Big\downarrow{\scriptstyle \pi} & & & & \Big\downarrow{\scriptstyle u'} \\ \mathcal{U} & \xrightarrow{\quad u\quad} & \mathcal{M} & \xrightarrow{\quad\varphi\quad} & \mathcal{M}' \end{array}$$

commutes. As usual, two atlases are equivalent if their union is again an atlas. This entails the notion of a maximal atlas.

Together with the corresponding supersmooth morphisms, we will denote by SMan the category of Fréchet supermanifolds.

2.2 Inner Hom objects in SMan

The subcategory $\mathsf{SPoint} \subset \mathsf{SMan}$ of super points plays a special role for the category of supermanifolds, analogous to that played by the one-point manifold for the category of ordinary manifolds. This is best seen from the fact [Sac08] that

$$\mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{P}(\Lambda), \mathcal{M}) \cong \mathcal{M}(\Lambda)$$

for all $\Lambda \in \mathsf{Gr}$ and any supermanifold $\mathcal{M}$. Moreover, this isomorphism is functorial in Λ as well as in $\mathcal{M}$. So the Λ-points (i.e., the sets $\mathcal{M}(\Lambda)$) of $\mathcal{M}$ are indeed given by all the possible maps of $\mathcal{P}(\Lambda)$ into $\mathcal{M}$.

An important consequence for our purpose is that this gives a hint on how to describe inner Hom objects in SMan. An inner Hom object $\underline{\mathrm{Hom}}(B, C)$ in any category C is required to satisfy the adjunction formula [ML98]

$$\mathrm{Hom}(A, \underline{\mathrm{Hom}}(B, C)) \cong \mathrm{Hom}(A \times B, C) \qquad \forall\ A, B, C \in \mathsf{C}.$$

Therefore, given two supermanifolds $\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N}$ the Λ-points of $\underline{\mathrm{Hom}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N})$ are given by

$$\underline{\mathrm{Hom}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N})(\Lambda) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathsf{SMan}}(\mathcal{P}(\Lambda) \times \mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N}).$$

This is as stated only a relation between sets. The hard part is, of course, to give these sets manifold structures such that $\underline{\mathrm{Hom}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N})$

becomes a supermanifold. If $\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N}$ are not discrete then this will, at best, be possible within the category of Fréchet supermanifolds.

In general, the study of such inner Hom objects is an analytically very challenging problem already for ordinary manifolds. We will only attempt to make this notion precise in two cases in this paper: we will define and study the *space* of sections of a super vector bundle over a supermanifold. As one may expect, it will turn out to be a superrepresentable $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$-module. Although this is of course expected it is not obvious, in contrast to ordinary geometry, because even the notion of a section over a space which is not described by its underlying topological points is a bit involved. The second example and overall goal will be the explicit construction of the diffeomorphism supergroup $\mathrm{SDiff}(\mathcal{M})$ of a compact supermanifold studied below. This supergroup will turn out to be a subobject of $\underline{\mathrm{Hom}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M})$ in a way that we will make precise.

3 Supergroups

The most well-known example of a supergroup is the following:

Definition 3.1. *A Lie supergroup is a group object in the category of supermanifolds.*

More explicitly, a supermanifold $\mathcal{G}$ is turned into a supergroup by specifying morphisms

$$\begin{aligned} m : \mathcal{G} \times \mathcal{G} &\to \mathcal{G} \\ i : \mathcal{G} &\to \mathcal{G} \\ e : \mathbb{R}^0 = \{*\} &\to \mathcal{G} \end{aligned}$$

which satisfy a number of diagrams encoding the axioms of a group [ML98]. For example, associativity amounts in this language to the condition

$$m \circ (m \times \mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{G}}) = m \circ (\mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{G}} \times m).$$

Instead of requiring the commutativity of certain diagrams one can equivalently require that the set of T-points $\mathcal{G}(T) = \mathrm{Hom}(T, \mathcal{G})$ is a group for every supermanifold T and that this family of groups is natural in T, i.e., that multiplication, inversion and unit are given by the induced maps $m_T : \mathcal{G}(T) \times \mathcal{G}(T) \to \mathcal{G}(T)$ and i_T, e_T, respectively.

That definition 3.1 only deals with *Lie* supergroups reflects the fact that at first it seems unclear how to generalize the concept of a group as a set with a certain structure to something "super". One way to escape this limitation is to give up thinking of structured sets, as indeed suggested by Def. 3.1. In view of the categorical formulation sketched in the previous section, we should rather think of a family of sets related by functoriality in Gr:

Definition 3.2. *A supergroup is a group object in* $\mathsf{Sets}^{\mathsf{Gr}}$.

This obviously includes Lie supergroups as defined above, but also more general objects. As a subcategory we obtain, for example, "topological supergroups", which we define as groups in $\mathsf{Top}^{\mathsf{Gr}}$. The study of these more general supergroups should be interesting in its own right. In addition, the orbits and orbit spaces of supergroup actions on supermanifolds often turn out not to be supermanifolds. However, they are always objects in $\mathsf{Sets}^{\mathsf{Gr}}$ which suggests this topos as the natural "habitat" to study supergroups. In this work, however, we will restrict ourselves to supergroups which can be endowed with the structure of a supermanifold.

Let $\mathcal{G}$ be a group object in $\mathsf{Sets}^{\mathsf{Gr}}$. Then every $\mathcal{G}(\Lambda)$ is a group, i.e., $\mathcal{G}$ is actually a functor $\mathsf{Gr} \to \mathsf{Grp}$. The initial and terminal morphisms $c_\Lambda : \mathbb{R} \to \Lambda$ and $\epsilon_\Lambda : \Lambda \to \mathbb{R}$ induce homomorphisms

$$\mathcal{G}(c_\Lambda) : \mathcal{G}(\mathbb{R}) \to \mathcal{G}(\Lambda), \qquad \mathcal{G}(\epsilon_\Lambda) : \mathcal{G}(\Lambda) \to \mathcal{G}(\mathbb{R}).$$

Since $\epsilon_\Lambda \circ c_\Lambda = \mathrm{id}_\mathbb{R}$, $\mathcal{G}(c_\Lambda)$ is a monomorphism and $\mathcal{G}(\epsilon_\Lambda)$ is an epimorphism. This means that for every $\Lambda \in \mathsf{Gr}$ we can write

$$\mathcal{G}(\Lambda) = \mathcal{N}(\Lambda) \rtimes G \tag{2}$$

where $G := \mathcal{G}(\mathbb{R}) \cong \mathrm{im}(\mathcal{G}(c_\Lambda))$ and $\mathcal{N}(\Lambda) := \ker(\mathcal{G}(\epsilon_\Lambda))$.

We can even say more. For every morphism $\varphi : \Lambda \to \Lambda'$ in Gr we have that $\epsilon_{\Lambda'} \circ \varphi = \epsilon_\Lambda$. Thus

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{G}(\Lambda) & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{G}(\varphi)} & \mathcal{G}(\Lambda') \\ {\scriptstyle \mathcal{G}(\epsilon_\Lambda)}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \mathcal{G}(\epsilon_{\Lambda'})} \\ G & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{id}_G} & G \end{array}$$

commutes. Therefore (2) can be read as a component equation for the splitting

$$\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{N} \rtimes G \tag{3}$$

where G is interpreted as the constant functor $\mathsf{Gr} \to \mathsf{Grp}$ with value G which sends each morphism to id_G and $\mathcal{N}$ is the supergroup $\Lambda \mapsto \mathcal{N}(\Lambda)$ and $\varphi \mapsto \mathcal{G}(\varphi)\big|_{\mathcal{N}(\Lambda)}$ for all morphisms φ in Gr.

Let us now assume $\mathcal{G}$ is a Lie supergroup. This implies that all $\mathcal{G}(\Lambda)$ are Lie groups which moreover have a rather special structure. We again have the maps $\mathcal{G}(\epsilon_\Lambda)$, $\mathcal{G}(c_\Lambda)$ with their respective properties. The Lie supergroup $\mathcal{G}$ is locally modeled on a linear superspace which we may identify with its Lie superalgebra $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_{\bar{0}} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{\bar{1}}$. In particular, there has to exist a superchart $\varphi : \mathcal{U} \to \mathcal{G}$ around the identity. The underlying chart $\varphi_{\mathbb{R}}$ is a chart around 1 for G which we may identify with a map $\varphi_{\mathbb{R}} : \mathfrak{g}_{\bar{0}} \supset U \to G$. This map might not be the exponential map if we are in the infinite-dimensional context.

The existence of a superchart means that we can extend $\varphi_{\mathbb{R}}$ for each Λ to a chart $\varphi_\Lambda : \mathcal{U}(\Lambda) \to \mathcal{G}(\Lambda)$ where $\mathcal{U}$ is an open superdomain in $\mathfrak{g}$. The fibers of the map

$$\mathcal{G}(\epsilon_\Lambda) : \mathcal{G}(\Lambda) \to \mathcal{G}(\mathbb{R})$$

are therefore linear spaces isomorphic to

$$(\mathfrak{g}_{\bar{0}} \otimes \Lambda_{\bar{0}}^{nil}) \oplus (\mathfrak{g}_{\bar{1}} \otimes \Lambda_{\bar{1}})$$

where $\Lambda_{\bar{0}}^{nil}$ denotes the nilpotent ideal in $\Lambda_{\bar{0}}$.

These linear spaces do not form a superrepresentable $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$-module [Sac08], which means that one cannot model a supermanifold on them. Similarly, a constant functor $\mathsf{Gr} \to \mathsf{Man}$ cannot be a supermanifold. Consequently the direct sum splitting (3) cannot exist in the category of Lie supergroups. Nonetheless it turns out to be very useful in the construction of supercharts. In our discussion of the supergroup of diffeomorphisms of a supermanifold below we will exhibit the splitting (2) explicitly.

4 Super vector bundles

4.1 Definition

In this section we will present a brief but hopefully self-contained treatment of super vector bundles in the categorical approach.

The construction of super vector bundles is formally completely analogous to that of ordinary vector bundles. The definition we will present was first given in [Mol84]. A trivial smooth super vector bundle

is given by $\pi_{\mathcal{M}} : \mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{V} \to \mathcal{M}$, where $\mathcal{M}$ is a smooth supermanifold, $\pi_{\mathcal{M}}$ is the canonical projection and $\mathcal{V}$ is a linear supermanifold, i.e., a topological superrepresentable $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$-module. Morphisms are pairs $(f : \mathcal{M} \to \mathcal{M}', g : \mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{V} \to \mathcal{M}' \times \mathcal{V}')$ such that

$$\pi_{\mathcal{M}'} \circ g = f \circ \pi_{\mathcal{M}}$$

and such that $\pi_{\mathcal{V}'} \circ g : \mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{V} \to \mathcal{V}'$ is a $\mathcal{M}$-family [Mol84], [DM99] of isomorphisms of $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$-modules. The latter condition is the categorified version of being a fiberwise isomorphism. The term "fiber" must be used with caution when speaking about super vector bundles because the base manifold is not described as a collection of ordinary topological points. Thus trivial super vector bundles are certain functors $\mathsf{Gr} \to \mathsf{VBun}$, where VBun are smooth super vector bundles over a smooth base.

Note that every functor $\mathcal{E} \in \mathsf{VBun}^{\mathsf{Gr}}$ gives rise to a functor $\mathcal{M} \in \mathsf{Man}^{\mathsf{Gr}}$ by assigning to every component bundle its base manifold.

Definition 4.1. *Let $\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E}'$ be functors in $\mathsf{VBun}^{\mathsf{Gr}}$, and let $\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M}'$ be their associated base functors in $\mathsf{Man}^{\mathsf{Gr}}$. Then $\mathcal{E}$ is said to be an open subfunctor of $\mathcal{E}'$, denoted $\mathcal{E} \subset \mathcal{E}'$, if*

1. *$\mathcal{M}$ is an open subfunctor of $\mathcal{M}'$, and*
2. *for each $\Lambda \in \mathsf{Gr}$ we have $\pi_\Lambda^{-1}(\mathcal{M}(\Lambda)) = {\pi'}_\Lambda^{-1}(\mathcal{M}(\Lambda))$,*

where $\pi_\Lambda : \mathcal{E}(\Lambda) \to \mathcal{M}(\Lambda)$ is the projection to the base.

A morphism $\mathcal{E}'' \to \mathcal{E}$ of functors in $\mathsf{VBun}^{\mathsf{Gr}}$ is called open if it can be factorized as a composition

$$\mathcal{E}'' \xrightarrow{f} \mathcal{E}' \subset \mathcal{E},$$

where f is an isomorphism of functors and $\mathcal{E}'$ is an open subfunctor of $\mathcal{E}$. An open covering $\{\mathcal{E}_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in A}$ of $\mathcal{E} \in \mathsf{VBun}^{\mathsf{Gr}}$ is then a collection of open morphisms $\{\varphi_\alpha : \mathcal{E}_\alpha \to \mathcal{E}\}_{\alpha \in A}$, such that the associated maps $\{\pi \circ \varphi_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in A}$ are an open covering of the functor $\mathcal{M} : \mathsf{Gr} \to \mathsf{Man}$ associated with $\mathcal{E}$. In analogy with supermanifolds, a supervector bundle is a functor in $\mathsf{VBun}^{\mathsf{Gr}}$ endowed with an atlas of trivial open subbundles.

Definition 4.2. *Let $\mathcal{E}$ be a functor in $\mathsf{VBun}^{\mathsf{Gr}}$, and let $\mathcal{M} \in \mathsf{Man}^{\mathsf{Gr}}$ be its associated functor of base manifolds. Let $\mathcal{A} = \{\varphi_\alpha : \mathcal{E}_\alpha \to \mathcal{E}\}_{\alpha \in A}$*

be an open covering of $\mathcal{E}$. Then this covering is an atlas of a super vector bundle $\mathcal{E}$ over the supermanifold $\mathcal{M}$ if the following conditions hold:

1. *each of the $\mathcal{E}_\alpha$ is a trivial super vector bundle $\mathcal{U}_\alpha \times \mathcal{V}_\alpha$, and $\mathcal{V}_\alpha \cong \mathcal{V}_\beta$ for all $\alpha, \beta \in A$, and*

2. *for each $\alpha, \beta \in A$, the overlaps*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{E}_\alpha \times_{\mathcal{E}} \mathcal{E}_\beta & \xrightarrow{\pi_\alpha} & \mathcal{E}_\alpha \\ {\scriptstyle \pi_\beta}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \varphi_\alpha} \\ \mathcal{E}_\beta & \xrightarrow{\varphi_\beta} & \mathcal{E} \end{array}$$

   can be given the structure of a trivial super vector bundle in such a way that the projections π_α, π_β become morphisms of trivial super vector bundles.

Two atlases $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{A}'$ are equivalent, if their union $\mathcal{A} \cup \mathcal{A}'$ is again an atlas. A super vector bundle $\mathcal{E}$ is a functor in $\mathsf{VBun}^{\mathsf{Gr}}$ together with an equivalence class of atlases.

The second condition is necessary because the fiber product in the diagram is constructed as the fiber product in $\mathsf{VBun}^{\mathsf{Gr}}$. We thus have to make sure that it actually exists in the subcategory of trivial super vector bundles. Note also that the requirement that the transition functions be morphisms of trivial super vector bundles automatically turns $\mathcal{M}$ into a supermanifold.

Definition 4.3. *Let $\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E}'$ be super vector bundles with open coverings $\{\varphi_\alpha : \mathcal{E}_\alpha \to \mathcal{E}\}_{\alpha \in A}$ and $\{\varphi_{\alpha'} : \mathcal{E}'_{\alpha'} \to \mathcal{E}'\}_{\alpha' \in A'}$. A functor morphism $\Phi : \mathcal{E} \to \mathcal{E}'$ in $\mathsf{VBun}^{\mathsf{Gr}}$ is a morphism of super vector bundles if for all $\alpha \in A$ and all $\alpha' \in A'$, the pullbacks*

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathcal{E}_\alpha \times_{\mathcal{E}'} \mathcal{E}_{\alpha'} & & \xrightarrow{\pi_{\alpha'}} & & \mathcal{U}_{\alpha'} \\ {\scriptstyle \pi_\alpha}\downarrow & & & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \varphi_{\alpha'}} \\ \mathcal{U}_\alpha & \xrightarrow{\varphi_\alpha} & \mathcal{E} & \xrightarrow{\Phi} & \mathcal{E}' \end{array}$$

can be chosen such that $\mathcal{E}_\alpha \times_{\mathcal{E}'} \mathcal{E}_{\alpha'}$ is a trivial super vector bundle and the projections $\pi_\alpha, \pi_{\alpha'}$ are morphisms of trivial super vector bundles.

Definitions 4.2 and 4.3 yield a category SVBun which is obviously a subcategory of $\mathsf{VBun}^{\mathsf{Gr}}$ but not a full one (for basically the same reason for which $\mathsf{Man}^{\mathsf{Gr}}$ is not a full subcategory of SMan, cf. [Sac08]). One can define super vector bundles in terms of cocycles with values in a Lie supergroup as well [Mol84] but we will not attempt to do this here.

Proposition 4.4. *A super vector bundle $\pi : \mathcal{E} \to \mathcal{M}$ is trivial if and only if all of its Λ-points $\pi_\Lambda : \mathcal{E}(\Lambda) \to \mathcal{M}(\Lambda)$ are trivial bundles.*

Proof. The bundle $\pi : \mathcal{E} \to \mathcal{M}$ is trivial if and only if there exists an isomorphism $f : \mathcal{E} \to \mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{V}$ for some superrepresentable $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$-module $\mathcal{V}$ such that $\pi = \pi_{\mathcal{M}} \circ f$. This means that for every $\Lambda \in \mathsf{Gr}$, the components of f must make the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} f_\Lambda : \mathcal{E}(\Lambda) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{M}(\Lambda) \times \mathcal{V}(\Lambda) \\ & {\scriptstyle \pi_\Lambda} \searrow \quad \swarrow {\scriptstyle \pi_{\mathcal{M},\Lambda}} & \\ & \mathcal{M}(\Lambda) & \end{array}$$

commutative. That is precisely the condition for the triviality of the ordinary vector bundle $\pi_\Lambda : \mathcal{E}(\Lambda) \to \mathcal{M}(\Lambda)$. □

4.2 The tangent bundle $\mathcal{TM}$

The tangent bundle $\mathcal{TM}$ of a supermanifold $\mathcal{M}$ is defined in the categorical framework as a functor $\mathcal{TM} : \mathsf{Gr} \to \mathsf{VBun}$ in the following way: for every $\Lambda \in \mathsf{Gr}$ and every $\varphi : \Lambda \to \Lambda'$, set

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{TM}(\Lambda) &:= T(\mathcal{M}(\Lambda)), \\ \mathcal{TM}(\varphi) &:= D(\mathcal{M}(\varphi)) : T(\mathcal{M}(\Lambda)) \to T(\mathcal{M}(\Lambda')). \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

To every morphism $f : \mathcal{M} \to \mathcal{M}'$ of supermanifolds, we assign a functor morphism

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}f : \mathcal{TM} &\to \mathcal{TM}' \\ (\mathcal{D}f)_\Lambda &:= Df_\Lambda : T(\mathcal{M}(\Lambda)) \to T(\mathcal{M}'(\Lambda)). \end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

The assignments (4) and (5) define the tangent functor $\mathcal{T} : \mathsf{SMan} \to \mathsf{VBun}^{\mathsf{Gr}}$. For our definition of a super vector bundle to make sense, we would certainly expect the tangent bundle to be in SVBun, not just in $\mathsf{VBun}^{\mathsf{Gr}}$. This is indeed the case:

Proposition 4.5. *The tangent functor is a functor* $\mathcal{T} : \mathsf{SMan} \to \mathsf{SVBun}$.

Proof. Choose a supersmooth atlas $\{u_\alpha : \mathcal{U}_\alpha \to \mathcal{M}\}_{\alpha\in A}$ of $\mathcal{M}$. Then all $\mathcal{U}_\alpha$ are open domains in some superrepresentable $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$-module $\mathcal{V}$ [Sac08], so their tangent bundles are trivial:

$$\mathcal{T}\mathcal{U}_\alpha \cong \mathcal{U}_\alpha \times \mathcal{V}.$$

It is clear that the tangent bundles $\{\mathcal{T}\mathcal{U}_\alpha\}_{\alpha\in A}$ of the coordinate domains form an open cover of the functor $\mathcal{T} \in \mathsf{VBun}^{\mathsf{Gr}}$. It has to be shown that they form an atlas satisfying the conditions of Definition 4.2.

By the definition of a supermanifold [Sac08] each intersection $\mathcal{U}_\alpha \times_{\mathcal{M}} \mathcal{U}_\beta$ has the structure of a superdomain itself, and the projections $\pi_\alpha, \pi_\beta : \mathcal{U}_{\alpha\beta} \to \mathcal{U}_\alpha, \mathcal{U}_\beta$ are supersmooth. The tangent bundles are related by the differentials, e.g., $\mathcal{D}\pi_\alpha : \mathcal{T}\mathcal{U}_{\alpha\beta} \to \mathcal{T}\mathcal{U}_\alpha$. These are by definition $\mathcal{U}_{\alpha\beta}$-families of $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$-linear morphisms compatible with the base maps. So they are morphisms of trivial super vector bundles. □

4.3 Spaces of sections of super vector bundles

In this Section we present a first application of the categorical approach to supergeometry. We show that smooth sections of finite-dimensional super vector bundles form superrepresentable $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$-modules and therefore linear Fréchet supermanifolds. This might seem intuitively clear from ordinary geometry but this intuition is treacherous in supergeometry. For example, there is no naive notion of fibers for a super vector bundle and a super vector space is not the same as a linear supermanifold from the ringed space point of view. Most of the proofs in this Section rely heavily on results of V. Molotkov [Mol05].

Let $p : \mathcal{E} \to \mathcal{M}$ be a smooth super vector bundle over a compact supermanifold $\mathcal{M}$. We would like to enrich the set of sections

$$\Gamma(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{E}) := \{\sigma \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathsf{SMan}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{E}) |\, p \circ \sigma = \mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{M}}\}$$

to a supermanifold. We thus have to extend $\Gamma(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{E})$ to a functor $\hat{\Gamma} : \mathsf{Gr} \to \mathsf{Sets}$ such that its value on $\mathbb{R}$ is $\Gamma(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{E})$. As usual, this can be accomplished by studying sections of families of super vector bundles over superpoints.

We define the functor $\hat{\Gamma}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{E}) : \mathsf{Gr} \to \mathsf{Sets}$ on the objects of Gr by setting

$$\hat{\Gamma}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{E})(\Lambda) := \Gamma(\mathcal{P}(\Lambda) \times \mathcal{M}, \pi^*_{\mathcal{M}}\mathcal{E}).$$

Here, $\pi_{\mathcal{M}}^*\mathcal{E}$ denotes the pullback of $\mathcal{E}$ along the projection $\pi_{\mathcal{M}} : \mathcal{P}(\Lambda)\times \mathcal{M} \to \mathcal{M}$. For a morphism $\varphi : \Lambda \to \Lambda'$, we define

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\Gamma}(\mathcal{M},\mathcal{E})(\varphi) : \hat{\Gamma}(\mathcal{M},\mathcal{E})(\Lambda) &\to \hat{\Gamma}(\mathcal{M},\mathcal{E})(\Lambda') \\ \sigma &\mapsto \sigma \circ (\mathcal{P}(\varphi) \times \mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{M}}). \end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

Note the similarity of this definition to that of inner Hom objects (Section 2.2). We do not want to work out this similarity systematically but only remark that one may use it to introduce the notion of an inner Hom object in the category of families over a supermanifold $\mathcal{M}$. In general, inner Hom objects and even more so functors of the type $\hat{\Gamma}$ for general fiber bundles are notoriously difficult to endow with additional structure, e.g., supersmooth or superrepresentable $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$-module structures. We will see, however, that this task is feasible here because all fibers are linear supermanifolds.

Let us first note that the set $SC^\infty(\mathcal{M},\overline{V})$ of supersmooth morphisms from a supermanifold $\mathcal{M}$ into a superrepresentable $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$-module carries a natural vector space structure: if $f, g : \mathcal{M} \to \overline{V}$ are morphisms then we define

$$(f+g)_\Lambda(u) := f_\Lambda(u) + g_\Lambda(u)$$

and

$$(r\cdot f)_\Lambda(u) := r f_\Lambda(u)$$

for $r \in \mathbb{R}$ and $u \in \mathcal{M}(\Lambda)$. If we look at a set of the form $SC^\infty(\mathcal{M},\overline{V}\oplus \overline{W})$ where $\overline{W}$ is another superrepresentable $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$-module we can even conclude that this set is a $\mathbb{Z}_2$-graded, i.e., super vector space. The even elements are simply defined to be maps into $\overline{V}$, the odd ones maps into $\overline{W}$.

The following Lemma shows that $\hat{\Gamma}(\mathcal{U},\mathcal{U}\times\mathcal{V})$ is superrepresentable.

Lemma 4.6. *Let $\mathcal{U}$ be a superdomain and $\mathcal{U}\times\mathcal{V}\to\mathcal{U}$ a trivial super vector bundle over $\mathcal{U}$. Then*

$$\hat{\Gamma}(\mathcal{U},\mathcal{U}\times\mathcal{V}) \cong \overline{SC^\infty(\mathcal{U},\mathcal{V}\oplus\Pi\mathcal{V})}$$

as $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$-modules.

Proof. We have

$$\hat{\Gamma}(\mathcal{U},\mathcal{U}\times\mathcal{V})(\Lambda) \cong SC^\infty(\mathcal{P}(\Lambda)\times\mathcal{U},\mathcal{V})$$

and $\mathcal{V} \cong \overline{V}$ for some super vector space V. On the other hand $\mathcal{U} = \overline{V'}\big|_U$ for some super vector space V' because $\mathcal{U}$ was assumed to be a superdomain[3]. Since

$$\mathcal{P}(\Lambda_n)(\Lambda) \cong \mathrm{Hom}(\Lambda_n, \Lambda) \cong \Lambda_{\bar{1}} \otimes \mathbb{R}^n \cong \mathbb{R}^{0|n},$$

we have

$$\mathcal{P}(\Lambda_n) \times \mathcal{U} = \mathcal{P}(\Lambda_n) \times \overline{V'}\big|_U \cong \overline{\mathbb{R}^{0|n} \oplus V'}\big|_U.$$

As shown in [Sac08] the set $SC^\infty(\mathcal{P}(\Lambda) \times \mathcal{U}, \mathcal{V})$ can be identified with the set of "skeletons" of such supersmooth maps. A skeleton of a morphism $f : \mathcal{P}(\Lambda) \times \mathcal{U} \to \mathcal{V}$ consists of a smooth map $f_0 : U \to V_{\bar{0}}$ and a collection of smooth maps $\{f_n : U \to \mathrm{Sym}^n(\mathbb{R}^{0|n} \oplus V'_{\bar{1}}, V) \mid n \geq 1\}$. Symmetric here of course means a symmetric parity-preserving map of *super* vector spaces, so

$$\mathrm{Sym}^i((\mathbb{R}^{0|n} \oplus V')_{\bar{1}}, V) = \wedge^i(\mathbb{R}^n \oplus V'_{\bar{1}}, V_{\bar{i}})$$

where the right hand side denotes alternating maps between *ordinary* vector spaces. Setting $\mathrm{Sym}^0(\mathbb{R}^{0|n} \oplus V'_{\bar{1}}, V) := V_{\bar{0}}$ we can identify

$$SC^\infty(\mathcal{P}(\Lambda_n) \times \mathcal{U}, \overline{V}) = C^\infty(U, \mathrm{Sym}^\bullet(\mathbb{R}^{0|n} \oplus V'_{\bar{1}}, V)) = C^\infty(U, \oplus_{i=0} \mathrm{Sym}^i(\mathbb{R}^{0|n} \oplus V'_{\bar{1}}, V)).$$

It is

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{Sym}^\bullet(\mathbb{R}^n \oplus V'_{\bar{1}}, V) &= \bigoplus_i \wedge^i(\mathbb{R}^n \oplus V'_{\bar{1}}, V_{\bar{i}}) \\
&= \bigoplus_i \bigoplus_{j+k=i} \wedge^j \mathbb{R}^n \otimes \wedge^k(V'_{\bar{1}}, V_{\bar{i}}) \\
&= \left(\bigoplus_{j \text{ even}} \bigoplus_{i=j}^{\infty} \wedge^j \mathbb{R}^n \oplus \wedge^{i-j}(V'_{\bar{1}}, V_{\bar{i}}) \right) \oplus \\
&\quad \left(\bigoplus_{j \text{ odd}} \bigoplus_{i=j}^{\infty} \wedge^j \mathbb{R}^n \oplus \wedge^{i-j}(V'_{\bar{1}}, V_{\bar{i}}) \right) \\
&= \left(\bigoplus_{j \text{ even}} \bigoplus_{m=0}^{\infty} \wedge^j \mathbb{R}^n \oplus \wedge^m(V'_{\bar{1}}, V_{\bar{m}}) \right) \oplus \\
&\quad \left(\bigoplus_{j \text{ odd}} \bigoplus_{m=0}^{\infty} \wedge^j \mathbb{R}^n \oplus \wedge^m(V'_{\bar{1}}, V_{\overline{m+1}}) \right),
\end{aligned}$$

[3]This means $U \subset V'_0$ open and $\mathcal{U}(\Lambda) = \overline{V'}(\epsilon_\Lambda^{-1})(U)$ for all Λ in Gr. Cf. [Sac08]

where on the right hand side, all operations are to be understood as those of ordinary vector spaces. Now we can rewrite that last line as

$$\mathrm{Sym}^\bullet(\mathbb{R}^n \oplus V_{\bar{1}}', V) = \Lambda_{n,\bar{0}} \otimes \mathrm{Sym}^\bullet(V_{\bar{1}}', V) \oplus \Lambda_{n,\bar{1}} \otimes \mathrm{Sym}^\bullet(V_{\bar{1}}', \Pi V)$$

and therefore

$$\begin{aligned} C^\infty(U, \mathrm{Sym}^\bullet(\mathbb{R}^{0|n} \oplus V_{\bar{1}}', V)) &\cong \Lambda_{n,\bar{0}} \otimes C^\infty(U, \mathrm{Sym}^\bullet(V_{\bar{1}}', V)) \oplus \\ &\quad \Lambda_{n,\bar{1}} \otimes C^\infty(U, \mathrm{Sym}^\bullet(V_{\bar{1}}', \Pi V)) \\ &\cong \Lambda_{n,\bar{0}} \otimes SC^\infty(\mathcal{U}, \overline{V}) \oplus \\ &\quad \Lambda_{n,\bar{1}} \otimes SC^\infty(\mathcal{U}, \overline{\Pi V}) \\ &\cong (\Lambda_n \otimes SC^\infty(\mathcal{U}, \overline{V} \oplus \overline{\Pi V}))_{\overline{0}} \\ &\cong \overline{SC^\infty(\mathcal{U}, V \oplus \Pi V)}(\Lambda_n). \end{aligned}$$

□

This result stays true if we study a general super vector bundle $\mathcal{E} \to \mathcal{M}$ over an arbitrary supermanifold $\mathcal{M}$. Note first that the open coverings by trivial bundles as defined above endow the category SVBun with a Grothendieck topology. This topology turns out to be *subcanonical* (for a proof in the very similar case of the category SMan see [Sac08]). This means that every representable functor $\mathsf{SVBun}^\circ \to \mathsf{Sets}$ is a sheaf.

As a consequence, if $\{\varphi_\alpha : \mathcal{E}_\alpha \to \mathcal{E}\}_{\alpha \in A}$ is an open covering of the super vector bundle $\mathcal{E}$ then $\mathcal{E}$ is a colimit with the φ_α as the canonical maps. More precisely, $\mathcal{E}$ is the limit of the diagram

$$\{\mathcal{E}_\alpha \longleftarrow \mathcal{E}_{\alpha\beta} = \mathcal{E}_\alpha \times_{\mathcal{E}} \mathcal{E}_\beta \longrightarrow \mathcal{E}_\beta \mid \alpha, \beta \in A\} =: F : \Delta \to \mathsf{SVBun} \quad (7)$$

where Δ is an abstract diagram category and F a functor into SVBun whose image is the open covering by trivial subbundles and their fibered products.

This in turn entails the following

Lemma 4.7. *Taking sections and pull-back maps*

$$\varphi_\alpha^* : \hat{\Gamma}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{E}) \to \hat{\Gamma}(\mathcal{U}_\alpha, \mathcal{U}_\alpha \times \mathcal{V}_\alpha)$$

we produce a diagram $\hat{\Gamma}(F) : \Delta^\circ \to \mathsf{Mod}_{\overline{\mathbb{R}}}$ *of the sets of sections. It is*

$$\hat{\Gamma}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{E}) = \lim(\hat{\Gamma}(F))$$

as $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$*-modules.*

Proof. In detail, each chart $\varphi_\alpha : \mathcal{E}_\alpha \to \mathcal{E}$ consists of a pair (f_α, g_α) which makes the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{E}_\alpha = \mathcal{U}_\alpha \times \mathcal{V}_\alpha & \xrightarrow{g_\alpha} & \mathcal{E} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathcal{U}_\alpha & \xrightarrow[f_\alpha]{} & \mathcal{M} \end{array}$$

commute. Here, g_α is a $\mathcal{U}_\alpha$-family of isomorphisms, so we also have an inverse g_α^{-1}.

So given a section $\sigma : \mathcal{P}(\Lambda) \times \mathcal{M} \to \mathcal{E}$ we define the pulled-back section as

$$\varphi_\alpha^* \sigma = g_\alpha^{-1} \circ \sigma \circ (\mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{P}(\Lambda)} \times f_\alpha) \ : \ \mathcal{P}(\Lambda) \times \mathcal{U}_\alpha \to \mathcal{E}_\alpha.$$

Pick some $\Lambda \in \mathsf{Gr}$ and assume we are given local sections $\sigma_\alpha : \mathcal{U}_\alpha \times \mathcal{P}(\Lambda) \to \mathcal{E}_\alpha$ which coincide on the overlaps, i.e.,

$$\pi_\alpha^* \sigma_\alpha = \pi_\beta^* \sigma_\beta$$

where π_α, π_β are the canonical maps of $\mathcal{E}_\alpha \times_{\mathcal{E}} \mathcal{E}_\beta$. These local sections define a unique global section $\sigma : \mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{P}(\Lambda) \to \mathcal{E}$ as one immediately checks pointwise, i.e., by looking at the

$$\sigma_{\alpha\Lambda'} : \mathcal{P}(\Lambda)(\Lambda') \times \mathcal{U}_\alpha(\Lambda') \to \mathcal{E}_\alpha(\Lambda').$$

All of these are ordinary (smooth) maps between ordinary spaces which coincide on overlaps.

So for each Λ, the Λ-points of local sections of a super vector bundle $\mathcal{E}$ form a sheaf on the supermanifold $\mathcal{M}$. The resulting uniqueness of the patched together global section makes the global sections a limit of the local sections. □

One can even go one step further and conclude that the *functors* $\hat{\Gamma}(\mathcal{U}_\alpha, \mathcal{E}_\alpha)$ form a sheaf with values in $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$-modules on $\mathcal{M}$.

Since we assume that the $\mathcal{E}_\alpha = \mathcal{U}_\alpha \times \mathcal{V}_\alpha$ are trivial we know from Lemma 4.6 that

$$\hat{\Gamma}(\mathcal{U}_\alpha, \mathcal{E}_\alpha) \cong \overline{SC^\infty(\mathcal{U}_\alpha, \mathcal{V}_\alpha \oplus \Pi\mathcal{V}_\alpha)}.$$

Therefore, $\hat{\Gamma}(F) : \Delta^\circ \to \mathsf{Mod}_{\overline{\mathbb{R}}}$ is a diagram of superrepresentable $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$-modules. If we abbreviate this diagram by an abuse of notation as just $\hat{\Gamma}(\mathcal{E}_\alpha)$ for a moment then we note that

$$\lim(\hat{\Gamma}(\mathcal{E}_\alpha)) \cong \lim(\overline{SC^\infty(\mathcal{U}_\alpha, \mathcal{V}_\alpha \oplus \Pi\mathcal{V}_\alpha)}) \cong \overline{\lim(SC^\infty(\mathcal{U}_\alpha, \mathcal{V}_\alpha \oplus \Pi\mathcal{V}_\alpha)}$$

where the last $\cong$ follows from the fact that the functor $\overline{\cdot}$ consists in tensoring with the finite-dimensional Grassmann algebras and taking the even parts which commutes with limits and colimits. Thus we have shown

Theorem 4.8. *Let $\mathcal{E} \to \mathcal{M}$ be a real super vector bundle. Then the functor $\hat{\Gamma}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{E})$ of global (smooth) sections is a superrepresentable $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$-module.*

From this theorem we can conclude in particular [Mol05]

$$\overline{\lim(SC^\infty(\mathcal{U}_\alpha, \mathcal{V}_\alpha \oplus \Pi\mathcal{V}_\alpha)} \cong \overline{\Gamma(\mathcal{U}_\alpha, \mathcal{V}_\alpha \oplus \Pi\mathcal{V}_\alpha)} \cong \overline{\Gamma(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{E} \oplus \Pi\mathcal{E})}.$$

Here, the unhatted Γ just means ordinary sections, i.e., maps $\sigma : \mathcal{M} \to \mathcal{E}$ such that $p \circ \sigma = \mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{M}}$.

It might seem strange at first that the functor of global sections is represented by the super vector space of sections of $\mathcal{E} \oplus \Pi\mathcal{E}$. But the set of maps $\mathcal{M} \to \mathcal{E}$ only carries the structure of a vector space, not that of a *super* vector space. As is basically always the case, the set of maps between two super objects is itself not super but can be enriched to become so. That is essentially due to the fact that the maps between super objects preserve parity.

As an example, the set of sections of the tangent bundle only consists of the even vector fields. To see the odd ones as well we have add a parity changed copy of the tangent bundle. This is a large-scale version of the simple fact that, for super vector spaces V, W, the inner Hom-object

$$\underline{\mathrm{Hom}}(V, W) \cong \mathrm{Hom}(V, W) \oplus \mathrm{Hom}(V, \Pi W) \cong \mathrm{Hom}(V, W \oplus \Pi W).$$

This inner Hom object is the object which is usually of interest; the actual morphisms $V \to W$ only consitute its even part.

5 Supersmooth morphisms and their composition

Following the general principles presented in section 2 the diffeomorphism supergroup $\mathrm{SDiff}(\mathcal{M})$ has to be a subfunctor of the inner Hom-object $\underline{\mathrm{Hom}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M})$. The latter is defined as a functor $\mathsf{Gr} \to \mathsf{Sets}$ by setting

$$\underline{\mathrm{Hom}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M}')(\Lambda) := \mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{P}(\Lambda) \times \mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M}').$$

and by the assignment of

$$\begin{aligned} \underline{\mathrm{Hom}}(\mathcal{M},\mathcal{M}')(\varphi) : \underline{\mathrm{Hom}}(\mathcal{M},\mathcal{M}')(\Lambda) &\to \underline{\mathrm{Hom}}(\mathcal{M},\mathcal{M}')(\Lambda') \\ \sigma &\mapsto \sigma \circ (\mathcal{P}(\varphi) \times \mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{M}}) \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

to each $\varphi : \Lambda \to \Lambda'$. We shall call the elements of $\underline{\mathrm{Hom}}(\mathcal{M},\mathcal{M}')(\Lambda)$ supersmooth morphisms. Note the similarity of this definition with that of the functor $\hat{\Gamma}(\mathcal{M},\mathcal{E})$ of sections of a super vector bundle given in the last section: the higher points of the inner Hom object are morphisms of families over superpoints. For more motivation, see [Sac08] and [Sac09].

5.1 Composition of morphisms and the unit element

Let $\mathcal{M},\mathcal{M}',\mathcal{M}''$ be supermanifolds and fix $\Lambda \in \mathsf{Gr}$ for the moment. For two supersmooth maps $f \in \mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{P}(\Lambda)\times\mathcal{M},\mathcal{M}')$ and $g \in \mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{P}(\Lambda)\times\mathcal{M}',\mathcal{M}'')$, the composition $g \circ (\mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{P}_\Lambda} \times f)$ is in $\mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{P}(\Lambda)\times\mathcal{M},\mathcal{M}'')$. This defines a map

$$\begin{aligned} \underline{\circ}_\Lambda : \underline{\mathrm{Hom}}(\mathcal{M},\mathcal{M}')(\Lambda) \times \underline{\mathrm{Hom}}(\mathcal{M}',\mathcal{M}'')(\Lambda) &\to \underline{\mathrm{Hom}}(\mathcal{M},\mathcal{M}'')(\Lambda), \\ (f,g) &\mapsto g \circ (\mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{P}_\Lambda} \times f). \end{aligned}$$

If Λ varies over all objects of Gr, then this in fact defines a natural transformation $\underline{\circ} : \underline{\mathrm{Hom}}(\mathcal{M},\mathcal{M}') \times \underline{\mathrm{Hom}}(\mathcal{M}',\mathcal{M}'') \Rightarrow \underline{\mathrm{Hom}}(\mathcal{M},\mathcal{M}'')$.

Lemma 5.1. *The functor*

$$e_{\mathcal{M}} : \mathsf{Gr} \to \mathsf{Sets}, \quad \Lambda \mapsto \{\Pi_{\mathcal{M}} : \mathcal{P}(\Lambda)\times\mathcal{M} \to \mathcal{M}\}$$

is a subfunctor of $\underline{\mathrm{Hom}}(\mathcal{M},\mathcal{M})$*, which defines the unit in* $\mathsf{Sets}^{\mathsf{Gr}}$ *for the composition* $\underline{\circ}$*. Moreover,* $\underline{\circ}$ *is associative, giving* $\underline{\mathrm{Hom}}(\mathcal{M},\mathcal{M})$ *the structure of a semi-group in* $\mathsf{Sets}^{\mathsf{Gr}}$*.*

Proof. This is clear from the definition. □

From the above it is obvious what the diffeomorphism supergroup of a supermanifold should be. It should be comprised by subfunctors of $\underline{\mathrm{Hom}}(\mathcal{M},\mathcal{M})$ which are invertible with respect to $\underline{\circ}$. Like the composition and all other operations invertibility has to be a "point-wise" notion.

Definition 5.2. *For $f \in \mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{P}(\Lambda) \times \mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M})$, an inverse is defined to be a morphism $f^{-1} \in \mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{P}(\Lambda) \times \mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M})$ such that*

$$(\mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{P}(\Lambda)} \times f) \circ f^{-1} = (\mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{P}(\Lambda)} \times f^{-1}) \circ f = \Pi_{\mathcal{M}}.$$

An inverse need not exist, but if it exists it is unique. If it exists, we call f invertible.

5.2 Explicit description of $\underline{\mathrm{Hom}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M})$

Before turning to the diffeomorphism supergropup we derive some explicit parametrization results on the spaces $\underline{\mathrm{Hom}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M})(\Lambda)$. We have

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathsf{SMan}}(\mathcal{P}(\Lambda) \times \mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M}) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathsf{SAlg}}(C^\infty(\mathcal{M}), C^\infty(\mathcal{M}) \otimes \Lambda).$$

Therefore, any morphism $\varphi : \mathcal{P}(\Lambda) \times \mathcal{M} \to \mathcal{M}$ is given by an algebra homomorphism (which we also denote φ) of the form

$$\varphi(f) = \alpha_0(f) + \sum_i \tau_i \alpha_i(f) + \sum_{i<j} \tau_i \tau_j \alpha_{ij}(f) + \ldots,$$

where the sums run over the odd generators $\tau_1, \ldots, \tau_n$ of Λ and each α_I is a linear map $C^\infty(\mathcal{M}) \to C^\infty(\mathcal{M})$ of parity the length $|I|$ of its index.

The image of φ under $\underline{\mathrm{Hom}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M})(\epsilon_\Lambda)$ is the morphism $C^\infty(\mathcal{M}) \to C^\infty(\mathcal{M})$ given by α_0 because ϵ_Λ is the map which mods out all nilpotent elements from Λ.

Before we prove the general statement, let us investigate the case $\Lambda = \Lambda_1 = \mathbb{R}[\tau]$ in detail to gain some intuition. That φ is a homomorphism means that

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi(fg) = \varphi(f)\varphi(g) &= (\alpha_0(f) + \tau\alpha_1(f))(\alpha_0(g) + \tau\alpha_1(g)) \\ &= \alpha_0(f)\alpha_0(g) + \tau\left[\alpha_1(f)\alpha_0(g) + (-1)^{p(f)}\alpha_0(f)\alpha_1(g)\right].\end{aligned}$$

This means that α_0 is itself a homomorphism of superalgebras. We also see that α_1 is a derivation over α_0. That means the following. We can view the homomorphism α_0 as endowing $C^\infty(\mathcal{M})$ with an additional module structure over itself. Let us for clarity denote this module structure as $C^\infty(\mathcal{M})^{\alpha_0}$. Then α_1 is a derivation from $C^\infty(\mathcal{M})$ to $C^\infty(\mathcal{M})^{\alpha_0}$.

It follows from the existence of universal derivations [Lan02] that one may then write φ as

$$\varphi = (1 + \tau X) \circ \alpha_0$$

where X is an odd vector field on $\mathcal{M}$. The precise statement about universal derivations is that

$$\mathrm{der}_R(A, M) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_A(\Omega, M)$$

where R is a commutative ring, A is a commutative R-algebra and M, Ω are A-modules. One checks that this continues to hold for supercommutative rings and their modules. Ω is universal in the sense that every derivation $D : A \to M$ factors uniquely as $D = f \circ d$ where $d : A \to \Omega$ is a derivation depending only on A and $f : \Omega \to M$ is A-linear. In our case d is the de Rham differential, Ω are the 1-forms, A is $C^\infty(\mathcal{M})$ and M is $C^\infty(\mathcal{M})^{\alpha_0}$. Now since Ω is in our case the dual space to the vector fields $\mathcal{X}(\mathcal{M})$ we find that

$$\mathrm{Hom}_A(\Omega, M) \cong \mathcal{X}(\mathcal{M}) \otimes_{C^\infty(\mathcal{M})} C^\infty(\mathcal{M})^{\alpha_0}.$$

So derivations $D : C^\infty(\mathcal{M}) \to C^\infty(\mathcal{M})^{\alpha_0}$ are still vector fields but with a different module structure over the functions.

One checks that in the case $\Lambda = \Lambda_2$, φ takes on the form

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi &= \exp(\tau_1 X_1 + \tau_2 X_2 + \tau_1\tau_2 X_{12}) \circ \alpha_0 \\ &= (1 + \tau_1 X_1 + \tau_2 X_2 + \frac{1}{2}\tau_1\tau_2 X_{12}) \circ \alpha_0. \end{aligned}$$

The general picture will be very similar, with each α_I contributing an additional vector field of parity $|I|$.

So apart from α_0, which describes a morphism of $\mathcal{M}$ into itself, the higher terms depending on nilpotent parameters of the base $\mathcal{P}(\Lambda)$ act "infinitesimally", that is, by derivations. This is a ramification of the fact that odd dimensions behave infinitesimally, familiar for example from the Taylor expansion of superfunctions into powers of their nilpotent part which is formally equivalent to extending a function onto an (odd) infintesimal neighbourhood.

Note that if α_0 is invertible, as will be the case for diffeomorphisms, the induced map $d\alpha_0$ on vector fields is an isomorphism and

$$X \circ \alpha_0 = \alpha_0 \circ d\alpha_0(X) \tag{9}$$

for every vector field X. So in this case we may choose whether we pre- or postcompose with α_0.

For the proof of the general case, let us introduce the following notation. By $\mathfrak{S}(a_1 \cdots a_n)$ we denote the symmetrization of the product $a_1 \cdots a_n$, i.e.,

$$\mathfrak{S}(a_1 \cdots a_n) = \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{\sigma \in P(n)} a_{\sigma(1)} \cdots a_{\sigma(n)},$$

where $P(n)$ is the group of permutations of n elements. The expression $I = I_1 + \ldots + I_j$ will denote the decomposition of the ordered set I into an ordered j-tuple of subsets $I_1, \ldots, I_j$, each carrying the ordering induced from I. For example, $\{1,2\} = I_1 + I_2$ consists of the four partitions

$$\{\{\},\{1,2\}\}, \quad \{\{1\},\{2\}\}, \quad \{\{2\},\{1\}\}, \quad \{\{1,2\},\{\}\}.$$

The notation $I = I_1 \cup \ldots \cup I_j$, on the other hand, denotes the decomposition of the ordered set I into an *unordered* j-tuple of disjoint ordered subsets. So, $\{1,2\} = I_1 \cup I_2$ consists of two partitions:

$$\{\{\},\{1,2\}\}, \quad \{\{1\},\{2\}\}.$$

The following lemma will be useful.

Lemma 5.3. *Let A be an algebra, $f, g \in A$, and let $a_1, \ldots, a_n$ be derivations of A. Then*

$$\mathfrak{S}(a_1 \circ \ldots \circ a_n)(fg) = \sum_{\{1,\ldots,n\}=K+L} \mathfrak{S}(a_K)(f)\mathfrak{S}(a_L)(g),$$

where for $K = \{k_1, \ldots, k_j\}$, a_K denotes the composition

$$a_K = a_{k_1} \circ \ldots \circ a_{k_j}.$$

Proof. By the Leibniz rule, it is clear that $\mathfrak{S}(a_1 \circ \ldots \circ a_n)(fg)$ will take the form

$$\mathfrak{S}(a_1 \circ \ldots \circ a_n)(fg) = \sum_{\{1,\ldots,n\}=K+L} \frac{N(K,L)}{n!} a_K(f) a_L(g),$$

with some integer $N(K,L)$ denoting the multiplicity the K,L-summand. Since the symmetrized product on the left hand side contains all possible orderings of the operators a_i, all possible partitions of $\{1, \ldots, n\}$

into two ordered subsets will really appear on the right hand side. The summand with given K and L occurs exactly $(|K|+|L|)!/(|K|!|L|!)$ times, as one checks as follows: starting from an ordered sequence K of indices, there are $(|K|+|L|)!/|K|!$ ways to insert $|L|$ elements at arbitrary positions into it. But since the ordering of L is also fixed, one has to divide by the number of permutations of L. So we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathfrak{S}(a_1 \circ \ldots \circ a_n)(fg) &= \sum_{K,L\subseteq\{1,\ldots,n\}} \frac{(|K|+|L|)!}{|K|!|L|!n!} a_K(f)a_L(g) \\ &= \sum_{\{1,\ldots,n\}=K+L} \mathfrak{S}(a_K)(f)\mathfrak{S}(a_L)(g)\end{aligned}$$

□

Theorem 5.4. *Let $\varphi : \mathcal{P}(\Lambda_n)\times\mathcal{M} \to \mathcal{M}$ be a Λ_n-point of $\underline{\mathrm{Hom}}(\mathcal{M},\mathcal{M})$. Then φ is uniquely determined by its underlying morphism $\varphi_0 : \mathcal{M} \to \mathcal{M}$, as well as 2^{n-1} odd and $2^{n-1}-1$ even vector fields X_I on $\mathcal{M}$ such that*

$$\varphi = \exp(\sum_{I\subseteq\{1,\ldots,n\}} \tau_I X_I) \circ \varphi_0, \tag{10}$$

where the sum runs over all increasingly ordered nonempty subsets and τ_I is the product of the corresponding τ_i's.

Proof. Write

$$\varphi = \sum_{I\subseteq\{1,\ldots,n\}} \tau_I \alpha_I, \tag{11}$$

where we now sum over all (including the empty) increasingly ordered subsets and each α_I is a linear map $C^\infty(\mathcal{M}) \to C^\infty(\mathcal{M})$ of parity $|I|$. The homomorphism property of φ implies that

$$\left(\sum_{K\subseteq\{1,\ldots,n\}} \tau_K\alpha_K(fg)\right) = \left(\sum_{I\subseteq\{1,\ldots,n\}} \tau_I\alpha_I(f)\right)\cdot\left(\sum_{J\subseteq\{1,\ldots,n\}} \tau_J\alpha_J(g)\right). \tag{12}$$

Identifying (10) with the sum (11) rephrases the claim of the theorem as

$$\tau_I\alpha_I = \sum_{j=1}^{|I|} \sum_{I=I_1\cup\ldots\cup I_j} \mathfrak{S}\left((\tau_{I_1}X_{I_1})\circ\ldots\circ(\tau_{I_j}X_{I_j})\right)\circ\alpha_0. \tag{13}$$

The summation runs over all partitions of I into unordered tuples of subsets, each subset carrying the ordering induced from I (cf. the definition of the notation $I = I_1 \cup \ldots \cup I_j$ above). This will be proved by induction on $|I|$.

For indices I of length $|I| = 0, 1$, the assertion holds as we have seen above. Assume the statement has been proven for indices up to length k. Then let $I = \{i_1, \ldots, i_{k+1}\}$ be an index of length $k+1$. We must assure that (12) holds, which means we must find the general solution α_I for

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_I \alpha_I(fg) &= \alpha_0(f)\tau_I\alpha_I(g) + (-1)^{p(f)}\tau_I\alpha_I(f)\alpha_0(g) \\ &\quad \sum_{\substack{I=K+L \\ K,L\neq\emptyset}} \tau_K\alpha_K(f)\tau_L\alpha_L(g). \end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

Since $|K|, |L| \leq k$, it follows that $\tau_K\alpha_K$ and $\tau_L\alpha_L$ must have the form (13). Therefore the sum in (14) can be written as

$$\sum_{\substack{I=K+L \\ K,L\neq\emptyset}} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{|K|} \sum_{K=K_1\cup\ldots\cup K_j} \mathfrak{S}\left((\tau_{K_1}X_{K_1}) \circ \ldots \circ (\tau_{K_j}X_{K_j})\right)(f) \circ \right.$$

$$\left. \sum_{l=1}^{|L|} \sum_{L=L_1\cup\ldots\cup L_l} \mathfrak{S}\left((\tau_{L_1}X_{L_1}) \circ \ldots \circ (\tau_{L_l}X_{L_l})\right)(g) \right) \circ \alpha_0.$$

By Lemma 5.3, this equals

$$\sum_{j=2}^{|I|} \sum_{I=I_1\cup\ldots\cup I_j} \mathfrak{S}\left((\tau_{I_1}X_{I_1}) \circ \ldots \circ (\tau_{I_j}X_{I_j})\right)(fg) \circ \alpha_0.$$

The general solution to equation (14) therefore reads

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_I\alpha_I &= \tau_I X_I \circ \alpha_0 + \sum_{j=2}^{|I|} \sum_{I=I_1\cup\ldots\cup I_j} \mathfrak{S}\left((\tau_{I_1}X_{I_1}) \circ \ldots \circ (\tau_{I_j}X_{I_j})\right) \circ \alpha_0 \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{|I|} \sum_{I=I_1\cup\ldots\cup I_j} \mathfrak{S}\left((\tau_{I_1}X_{I_1}) \circ \ldots \circ (\tau_{I_j}X_{I_j})\right) \circ \alpha_0, \end{aligned}$$

where X_I is a vector field of parity $|I|$ on $\mathcal{M}$. □

As we have expected all topological features of $\underline{\mathrm{Hom}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M})$ are completely determined by its underlying space $\mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M})$ while all higher points are vector bundles over the latter space.

6 Basic properties of the diffeomorphism supergroup

We now turn to the diffeomorphism supergroup SDiff and it's structural analysis. We will see that, exactly as in the previous subsection, all analytical difficulties pertain to the group underlying SDiff.

6.1 Group structure of $\mathrm{SDiff}(\mathcal{M})$ in $\mathsf{Sets}^{\mathsf{Gr}}$

Define for each $\Lambda \in \mathsf{Gr}$ a set $\mathrm{SDiff}(\mathcal{M})(\Lambda)$ by setting

$$\mathrm{SDiff}(\mathcal{M})(\Lambda) = \{f \in \underline{\mathrm{Hom}}(\mathcal{M},\mathcal{M})(\Lambda) \mid f \text{ invertible}\}.$$

Clearly, each of these sets is a group. Therefore if we can show that they form a functor in $\mathsf{Sets}^{\mathsf{Gr}}$, this functor will be a group object in $\mathsf{Sets}^{\mathsf{Gr}}$. In fact we will show that $\mathrm{SDiff}(\mathcal{M})$ is a subfunctor of $\underline{\mathrm{Hom}}(\mathcal{M},\mathcal{M})$.

Proposition 6.1. *For each $\Lambda \in \mathsf{Gr}$ and each morphism $\varphi : \Lambda \to \Lambda'$, the restriction of $\underline{\mathrm{Hom}}(\mathcal{M},\mathcal{M})(\varphi)$ to $\mathrm{SDiff}(\mathcal{M})(\Lambda)$ induces a group homomorphism*

$$\mathrm{SDiff}(\mathcal{M})(\varphi) : \mathrm{SDiff}(\mathcal{M})(\Lambda) \to \mathrm{SDiff}(\mathcal{M})(\Lambda').$$

Proof. Applying the definition (8) to the neutral element $\Pi_{\mathcal{M}} : \mathcal{P}(\Lambda)\times \mathcal{M} \to \mathcal{M}$, we see immediately that

$$\Pi_{\mathcal{M}} \circ (\mathcal{P}(\varphi) \times \mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{M}}) = \Pi_{\mathcal{M}},$$

i.e., $\underline{\mathrm{Hom}}(\mathcal{M},\mathcal{M})(\varphi)$ maps the unit element to the unit element. Now let $f, g \in \mathrm{SDiff}(\mathcal{M})(\Lambda)$ be given. We have to show that

$$\underline{\mathrm{Hom}}(\mathcal{M},\mathcal{M})(\varphi)(g\circ f) = (\underline{\mathrm{Hom}}(\mathcal{M},\mathcal{M})(\varphi)(g))\circ(\underline{\mathrm{Hom}}(\mathcal{M},\mathcal{M})(\varphi)(f)).$$

It is most insightful to compare the definition of the two functors. The left hand side corresponds to the composition

$$\mathcal{P}(\Lambda')\times\mathcal{M}\xrightarrow{(\mathcal{P}(\varphi),\mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{M}})}\mathcal{P}(\Lambda)\times\mathcal{M}\xrightarrow{(\mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{P}(\Lambda)},f)}\mathcal{P}(\Lambda)\times\mathcal{M}\xrightarrow{g}\mathcal{M}, \tag{15}$$

while the right hand side corresponds to

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{P}(\Lambda')\times\mathcal{M}\xrightarrow{(\mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{P}(\Lambda')},\mathcal{P}(\varphi),\mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{M}})}\mathcal{P}(\Lambda')\times\mathcal{P}(\Lambda)\times\mathcal{M}\xrightarrow{(\mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{P}(\Lambda')},f)}\\ \longrightarrow\mathcal{P}(\Lambda')\times\mathcal{M}\xrightarrow{(\mathcal{P}(\varphi),\mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{M}})}\mathcal{P}(\Lambda)\times\mathcal{M}\xrightarrow{g}\mathcal{M}\,.\end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

Let now $m \in \mathcal{M}(\Lambda'')$ be some Λ''-point of $\mathcal{M}$, $p \in \mathcal{P}(\Lambda')(\Lambda'')$ be a Λ''-point of $\mathcal{P}(\Lambda')$ and let $q \in \mathcal{P}(\Lambda)(\Lambda'')$ be its image under $\mathcal{P}(\varphi)$, i.e., $q = \mathcal{P}(\varphi)(p)$. Then (15) will map the pair (p, m) to

$$(p,m) \longmapsto (q,m) \longmapsto (q, f_{\Lambda''}(q,m)) \longmapsto g(q, f_{\Lambda''}(q,m)) .$$

On the other hand, (16) will map (p, m) as

$$(p,m) \mapsto (p,q,m) \mapsto (p, f_{\Lambda''}(q,m)) \mapsto (q, f_{\Lambda''}(q,m)) \mapsto g(q, f_{\Lambda''}(q,m)) .$$

This shows that all components of the two functor morphisms (15) and (16) are indeed identical. □

Corollary 6.2. $\mathrm{SDiff}(\mathcal{M})$ *is a subfunctor of* $\underline{\mathrm{Hom}}(\mathcal{M},\mathcal{M})$ *and a group object in* $\mathsf{Sets}^{\mathsf{Gr}}$.

Proof. By Proposition 6.1, for $\varphi : \Lambda \to \Lambda'$, $\underline{\mathrm{Hom}}(\mathcal{M},\mathcal{M})(\varphi)$ maps invertible morphisms to invertible morphisms, so the restriction of $\underline{\mathrm{Hom}}(\mathcal{M},\mathcal{M})(\varphi)$ to $\mathrm{SDiff}(\mathcal{M})(\Lambda)$ is well-defined. This means that the inclusion $\mathrm{SDiff}(\mathcal{M}) \subset \underline{\mathrm{Hom}}(\mathcal{M},\mathcal{M})(\varphi)$ is a functor morphism, and thus $\mathrm{SDiff}(\mathcal{M})$ is a subfunctor. Since each $\mathrm{SDiff}(\mathcal{M})(\Lambda)$ is a group and each $\mathrm{SDiff}(\mathcal{M})(\varphi)$ is a group homomorphism, the second assertion is clear. □

6.2 Factoring out the underlying group $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{M})$

Associated with the null object $\Lambda_0 = \mathbb{R}$ of Gr are the underlying points (or $\mathbb{R}$-points) of a supergroup. In our case, the group $\mathrm{SDiff}(\mathcal{M})(\mathbb{R})$ obviously consists of the invertible elements of $\mathrm{End}(\mathcal{M})$, i.e., of the automorphisms of $\mathcal{M}$. We shall denote this group by $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{M})$. As mentioned above, the initial and final morphisms $c_\Lambda : \mathbb{R} \to \Lambda$ and $\epsilon_\Lambda : \Lambda \to \mathbb{R}$ of Gr furnish canonical inclusions, resp. projections

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{SDiff}(c_\Lambda) &: \mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{M}) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{SDiff}(\mathcal{M})(\Lambda), \\ \mathrm{SDiff}(\epsilon_\Lambda) &: \mathrm{SDiff}(\mathcal{M})(\Lambda) \to \mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{M}). \end{aligned}$$

This turns $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{M})$ into a subgroup of $\mathrm{SDiff}(\mathcal{M})(\Lambda)$ and each $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{M})(\Lambda) := \ker(\mathrm{SDiff}(\mathcal{M})(\epsilon_\Lambda))$ is a complementary normal subgroup. Since this construction is functorial, the next lemma is immediate (compare also the discussion in Section 3).

Lemma 6.3. *The assignment* $\Lambda \mapsto \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{M})(\Lambda)$ *defines a normal super subgroup* $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{M})$ *of* $\mathrm{SDiff}(\mathcal{M})$ *and we have*

$$\mathrm{SDiff}(\mathcal{M}) \cong \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{M}) \rtimes \mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{M})$$

(where we regard $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{M})$ *as the constant supergroup* $\Lambda \mapsto \mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{M})$*).*

As pointed out in Section 3, $\mathrm{SDiff}(\mathcal{M})$ even splits as a direct sum in $\mathsf{Sets}^{\mathsf{Gr}}$. The splitting as a semidirect will, however, even hold as Lie supergroups. For each $f : \mathcal{P}(\Lambda) \times \mathcal{M} \to \mathcal{M}$ in $\mathrm{SDiff}(\Lambda)$, the automorphism $\mathrm{SDiff}(\epsilon_\Lambda)(f)$ of $\mathcal{M}$ is given by

$$\mathcal{M} \cong \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}) \times \mathcal{M} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{P}(\epsilon_\Lambda)\times \mathrm{id}_\mathcal{M}} \mathcal{P}(\Lambda) \times \mathcal{M} \xrightarrow{f} \mathcal{M}$$

We call this the automorphism underlying f. That it is actually invertible is due to the fact that SDiff defines a functor. From this it follows that $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{M})(\Lambda)$ consists of maps depending non-trivially on the odd coordinates of $\mathcal{P}_\Lambda$ and whose underlying automorphism is the identity of $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{M})$.

In Section 7.4, we shall put a supersmooth structure on $\mathrm{SDiff}(\mathcal{M})$ with the aid of the decomposition from Lemma 6.3. This becomes feasible because we shall derive charts for $\mathrm{SDiff}(\mathcal{M})$ respecting this decomposition.

6.3 Invertibility of morphisms

In this section we shall obtain an explicit inversion formula for supersmooth diffeomorphisms.

Theorem 6.4. *A supersmooth morphism* $\varphi : \mathcal{P}(\Lambda) \times \mathcal{M} \to \mathcal{M}$ *is invertible if and only if its underlying morphism* $\varphi_\mathbb{R} : \mathcal{M} \to \mathcal{M}$ *is invertible. In this case, writing the algebra homomorphism* φ *as*

$$\varphi = \exp\Big(\sum_{I\subseteq\{1,\ldots,n\}} \tau_I X_I\Big) \circ \varphi_0$$

(in the notation of Theorem 5.4), its inverse is given by

$$\varphi^{-1} = \varphi_0^{-1} \circ \exp\Big(-\sum_{I\subseteq\{1,\ldots,n\}} \tau_I X_I\Big). \tag{17}$$

Proof. We have to show that

$$\exp(-\sum_{I\subseteq\{1,\dots,n\}} \tau_I X_I) \circ \exp(\sum_{J\subseteq\{1,\dots,n\}} \tau_J X_J) = \mathrm{id}_{C^\infty_{\mathcal{M}}(\mathcal{M})}. \tag{18}$$

We can write

$$\exp(-\sum_{I\subseteq\{1,\dots,n\}} \tau_I X_I) \circ \exp(\sum_{J\subseteq\{1,\dots,n\}} \tau_J X_J) = 1 + \sum_K \tau_K \alpha_K \tag{19}$$

by expanding both exponentials. Using (13), we rewrite the expression on the left hand side as

$$1 + \left(\sum_{j=1}^{|I|} \sum_{I=I_1\cup\ldots\cup I_j} \mathfrak{S}\left((-\tau_{I_1} X_{I_1}) \circ \ldots \circ (-\tau_{I_j} X_{I_j})\right) \right) \circ \left(\sum_{k=1}^{|J|} \sum_{J=J_1\cup\ldots\cup J_k} \mathfrak{S}\left((\tau_{J_1} X_{J_1}) \circ \ldots \circ (\tau_{J_k} X_{J_k})\right) \right)$$

Now $\tau_K \alpha_K$ on the right hand side of (19) is a sum over all partitions of K into ordered tuples of subsets. Pick one such tuple $\{K_1, \dots, K_n\}$; the tuple, and each of the K_i, is ordered, and their union is K. On the left hand side, we have the corresponding sum

$$\frac{1}{k!(n-k)!} \sum_{k=0}^{n} (-1)^k (\tau_{K_1} X_{K_1}) \circ \ldots \circ (\tau_{K_n} X_{K_n})$$

of all ways of realizing this sequence of indices by contributions from either two of the exponentials in (18). But

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n} \frac{1}{k!(n-k)!} (-1)^k = \frac{1}{n!}(1 + (-1))^n = 0.$$

Therefore, each α_K on the right hand side of (19) receives only vanishing contributions, and thus (18) holds. □

Corollary 6.5. $\mathrm{SDiff}(\mathcal{M})$ *is the restriction of* $\underline{\mathrm{Hom}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M})$ *onto* $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{M}) \subset \underline{\mathrm{Hom}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M})(\mathbb{R})$.

7 The Lie supergroup SDiff($\mathcal{M}$)

The analytically involved part of the supersmooth structure on SDiff($\mathcal{M}$) comes from the underlying group Aut($\mathcal{M}$). In this section we show how to put a Lie group structure on it. Up to a nilpotent semidirect factor, Aut($\mathcal{M}$) can be identified with the automorphism group of a finite-dimensional vector bundle, so that we can borrow the smooth structure on it from [Woc07]. In order to do so, we have to assume that the underlying manifold $\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R})$ is compact throughout.

7.1 The structure sheaf of a supermanifold

The connection between finite-dimensional supermanifolds and vector bundles is most easily described in the ringed-space picture (cf. [DM99]), which we will switch to for this and the following subsection. How to get from a super manifold in the categorical sense to the ringed space is described in [Sac08, Sect. 5.1].

When viewed as a ringed space, an $m|n$-dimensional supermanifold $\mathcal{M}$ is an m-dimensional manifold M, together with a sheaf $C^\infty_\mathcal{M}$ of $\mathbb{Z}_2$-graded supercommutative $\mathbb{R}$ algebras (i.e., $a \cdot b = (-1)^{|a|\cdot|b|} b \cdot a$ for homogeneous elements), which is locally isomorphic to $C^\infty_{\mathbb{R}^m} \otimes \Lambda_n$ where $C^\infty_{\mathbb{R}^m}$ is the sheaf of ordinary smooth functions on $\mathbb{R}^m$. A morphism between supermanifolds in this picture is a smooth morphism of the underlying manifolds together with a morphism of sheaves.

Recall that the structure sheaf $C^\infty_\mathcal{M}$ of a supermanifold $\mathcal{M}$ is filtered by the powers of the nilpotent ideal sheaf $\mathcal{J} \subset C^\infty_\mathcal{M}$, i.e.,

$$C^\infty_\mathcal{M} \supset \mathcal{J} \supset \mathcal{J}^2 \supset \dots$$

The sheaf is not $\mathbb{Z}$-graded, however, because morphisms of superalgebras only preserve the $\mathbb{Z}/2$-degree. Dividing out $\mathcal{J}$ yields the underlying manifold M, and the quotient morphism $C^\infty_\mathcal{M} \to C^\infty_\mathcal{M}/\mathcal{J}$ endows us with a canonical embedding $\mathrm{cem} : M \hookrightarrow \mathcal{M}$ as a closed subsupermanifold. This construction is functorial, i.e., we obtain a functor $\mathrm{red} : \mathsf{SMan} \to \mathsf{Man}$.

The sheaf $\mathcal{J}/\mathcal{J}^2$ has a natural $C^\infty_\mathcal{M}/\mathcal{J}$-module structure on it, given by $[f]\cdot[\sigma] = [f\cdot\sigma]$. This turns $\mathcal{J}/\mathcal{J}^2$ into a locally free sheaf of modules over $C^\infty_\mathcal{M}$, which in turn gives rise to a smooth vector bundle $E \to M$ with $\Gamma(E) \cong \mathcal{J}/\mathcal{J}^2$. By Batchelor's Theorem [Bat79] there exists a (non-canonical) isomorphism $\xi : \Gamma(\Lambda^\bullet E) \to C^\infty_\mathcal{M}$ covering id_M, i.e., ξ preserves the $\mathbb{Z}_2$-grading. However, each two choices ξ, ξ' give rise to

an isomorphism $\xi^{-1} \circ \xi' : \Lambda^\bullet E \to \Lambda^\bullet E$ covering id_M, which gives in particular rise to a vertical bundle automorphism $E \to E$. We shall call such a pair (E, ξ) a *vector bundle associated with* $\mathcal{M}$.

7.2 The super Lie algebra $\mathcal{X}(\mathcal{M})$

The ringed space picture also provides a very accessible way to deal with the Lie superalgebra $\mathcal{X}(\mathcal{M})$ of vector fields. By Lemma 4.6, the functor $\widehat{\Gamma}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{T}\mathcal{M})$ is superrepresentable and the ringed space picture provides explicitly a $\mathbb{Z}_2$-graded vector space representing $\mathcal{X}(\mathcal{M})$ as in Example 2.2.

The structure sheaf $C^\infty_{\mathcal{M}}$ is a sheaf of super commutative $\mathbb{Z}_2$-graded algebras on M. Thus it has a $\mathbb{Z}_2$-graded sheaf of (even and odd) derivations, which we denote by $\mathrm{Der}(C^\infty_{\mathcal{M}})$. In local coordinates x_i, θ_j, an even derivation has the general form

$$X = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{\substack{I \subseteq \{1,\dots,n\} \\ |I| \text{ even}}} f_{iI}\theta_I \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} + \sum_{j=1}^{n} \sum_{\substack{J \subseteq \{1,\dots,n\} \\ |J| \text{ odd}}} g_{jJ}\theta_J \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_j} \tag{20}$$

and an odd derivation has the general form

$$X = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{\substack{I \subseteq \{1,\dots,n\} \\ |I| \text{ odd}}} f_{iI}\theta_I \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} + \sum_{j=1}^{n} \sum_{\substack{J \subseteq \{1,\dots,n\} \\ |J| \text{ even}}} g_{jJ}\theta_J \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_j} \tag{21}$$

where the sums run over all increasingly ordered subsets and θ_I denotes the product of the corresponding θ_j's in that same order. The action of X on $f = \sum_{K \subseteq \{1,\dots,n\}} f_K \theta_K \quad \in C^\infty_{\mathcal{M}}$ is then given by

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} f \;:=\; \sum_{K \subseteq \{1,\dots,n\}} \frac{\partial f_K}{\partial x^i}\theta_K \tag{22}$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_j} f \;:=\; \sum_{j \in K \subseteq \{1,\dots,n\}} f_K \theta_{K-\{j\}} \mathrm{sgn}(j, K) \tag{23}$$

where $\mathrm{sgn}(j, K)$ is the sign arising from moving $\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_j}$ past the elements left of θ_j in θ_K. The super commutator $[X, Y] = XY - (-1)^{|X||Y|}YX$ turns $\mathrm{Der}(C^\infty_{\mathcal{M}})$ into a Lie superalgebra.

From the above representation it also follows that $\mathrm{Der}(C^\infty_{\mathcal{M}})$ can be endowed with a Fréchet topology, which is induced by the embedding

$$\mathrm{Der}(C^\infty_{\mathcal{M}}) \hookrightarrow \prod_{i \in I} \mathrm{Der}(\mathcal{M}|_{U_i}) \cong \mathrm{Der}(C^\infty(U_i) \otimes \Lambda_n)$$

(for $(U_i)_{i\in I}$ an open covering of M with $\mathcal{M}|_{U_i} \cong C^\infty(V_i)\otimes\Lambda_n$ and $V_i \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ open) and endowing $\mathrm{Der}(C^\infty(U_i)\otimes\Lambda_n)$ with the natural Fréchet topology. Since the natural operations are continuous with respect to this topology, this turns $\mathrm{Der}(\mathcal{M})$ into a Fréchet super Lie algebra.

From the local representation of a derivation in (20) and (21) and Lemma 4.6 it also follows that $\overline{\mathrm{Der}(C^\infty_{\mathcal{M}})} \cong \mathcal{X}(\mathcal{M})$ as $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$-modules, which enriches $\mathcal{X}(\mathcal{M})$ to a Fréchet super Lie algebra.

7.3 The structure of $\mathcal{X}(\mathcal{M})$ and $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{M})$

An automorphism of $\mathcal{M}$ is a homomorphism of its structure sheaf, i.e., it preserves the grading. The Lie algebra of $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{M})$ is therefore the algebra of grading-preserving, i.e., even, vector fields $\mathcal{X}(\mathcal{M})_{\bar{0}}$.

In view of the action of vector fields on functions on $\mathcal{M}$ described in (22) and (23) we readily identify the even vector fields whose action induces the identity on the underlying manifold: these are the ones which do not contain a summand $f_i(x)\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}$. That is, in their local representation each coefficient function is at least of degree one in the odd variables. Similarly, if an even vector field X only has coefficient functions of degree ≥ 2 in the odd variables it will induce the identity on $C^\infty_{\mathcal{M}}/\mathcal{J}^2$ and thus on the underlying manifold as well as on any vector bundle describing $\mathcal{J}/\mathcal{J}^2$ and so on.

We can define a filtration on $\mathcal{X}(\mathcal{M})$ analogous to that on $C^\infty_{\mathcal{M}}$ by giving each odd coordinate (in some arbitrary local coordinate system) degree 1 and each derivative $\frac{\partial}{\partial\theta_j}$ degree -1. Then we define $\mathcal{X}(\mathcal{M})^{(k)}$ as the ideal in $\mathcal{X}(\mathcal{M})$ consisting of even vector fields whose local coordinate representations are of degree at least k (in the odd variables). This defines a filtration which is independent of the choice of local coordinates: the exact number of odd variables in a superfunction is not preserved under coordinate changes, but it never decreases, which is precisely the statement that coordinate changes respect the filtration of $C^\infty_{\mathcal{M}}$ by powers of the nilpotent ideal $\mathcal{J}$.

In particular, $\mathcal{X}(\mathcal{M})_{\bar{0}}$ consists of all $\mathcal{X}(\mathcal{M})^{(k)}$ with even k, the odd vector fields have odd degrees. So, for example, $\mathcal{X}(\mathcal{M})^{(0)}_{\bar{0}}/\mathcal{X}(\mathcal{M})^{(2)}_{\bar{0}}$ locally consists of linear combinations of vector fields of the form $f(x)\partial_{x_j}$ and $g(x)\theta_i\partial_{\theta_j}$ and therefore acts nontrivially on the underlying manifold M as well as on the associated vector bundles of $\mathcal{M}$.

The subgroup of $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{M})$ which induces the identity on $C^\infty_{\mathcal{M}}/\mathcal{J}^k$

will be denoted as $\mathrm{Nil}^{(k)}_{\mathcal{M}}$. If we write sloppily $\mathcal{M}/\mathcal{J}^k$ for the ringed space obtained by dividing out the k-th power of $\mathcal{J}$ then we could also define $\mathrm{Nil}^{(k)}_{\mathcal{M}}$ as the kernel of the natural map $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{M}) \to \mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{M}/\mathcal{J}^k)$.

Proposition 7.1. *If $k \geq 2$, then $\mathcal{X}(\mathcal{M})^{(k)}_{\bar{0}}$ consists of nilpotent derivations, $\mathrm{Nil}^{(k)}_{\mathcal{M}}$ of unipotent automorphisms (of $C^\infty_{\mathcal{M}}$ respectively), and the exponential map*

$$\exp : \mathcal{X}(\mathcal{M})^{(k)}_{\bar{0}} \to \mathrm{Nil}^{(k)}_{\mathcal{M}}$$

is bijective.

Proof. An element X of $\mathcal{X}(\mathcal{M})^{(k)}_{\bar{0}}$ is an even derivation of $C^\infty_{\mathcal{M}}$ such that $|I|$ and $|J|$ in its coordinate representation (20) are bounded below by k and $k+1$, respectively. With the definition of the action of $\mathcal{X}(\mathcal{M})_{\bar{0}}$ on $C^\infty_{\mathcal{M}}$ in (22) and (23) one sees that applying X to f raises the length of the indices of the odd variables θ_K by at least k. From this it follows that X acts nilpotently if $k \geq 2$.

Thus $\exp(X)$ actually is a finite sum and the exponential map is well-defined. Moreover, $\exp(X) \in \mathrm{Nil}^{(k)}_{\mathcal{M}}$, since in any local coordinate system, $\mathcal{J}^k$ is generated by $\{\theta_K : |K| \leq k\}$ over $C^\infty/\mathcal{J}$ and thus $\exp(X)$ acts trivially on $\mathcal{M}/\mathcal{J}^k$. By the same argument as above, an element $\varphi \in \mathrm{Nil}^{(k)}_{\mathcal{M}}$ is unipotent if $k \geq 2$. Moreover,

$$\log(\varphi) := \sum_{l \geq 1} (-1)^l \frac{(\varphi - \mathrm{id})^l}{l}$$

defines an inverse map for exp (cf. [vdE00]). □

The group $\mathrm{Nil}_{\mathcal{M}} := \mathrm{Nil}(\mathcal{M})^{(2)}$ will be particularly important, for it can be turned into a semidirect factor in $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{M})$, albeit non-canonically. The corresponding quotient is $G := \mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{M}/\mathcal{J}^2)$, which we can embed into $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{M})$ by choosing a vector bundle $E \to M = \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R})$ associated with $\mathcal{M}$. In fact, $\mathcal{J}^2 = \Lambda^{\geq 2}E$ in the case that $C^\infty_{\mathcal{M}} = \Lambda^\bullet_{C^\infty_M} E$ and thus automorphisms of $\mathcal{M}/\mathcal{J}^2 = E$ become the same as vector bundle automorphisms of E. On the other hand, each $f \in \mathrm{Aut}(E)$ acts as an automorphism on the sheaf of sections of E and this determines uniquely an automorphism of $\Lambda^\bullet E$.

Corollary 7.2. *The group $\mathrm{Nil}_{\mathcal{M}}$ fits into an exact sequence*

$$1 \longrightarrow \mathrm{Nil}_{\mathcal{M}} \longrightarrow \mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{M}) \longrightarrow G \longrightarrow 1. \tag{24}$$

This sequence splits (non-naturally in $\mathcal{M}$) by a morphism $\sigma_E : G \to \mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{M})$, which depends on a choice of a vector bundle E associated with $\mathcal{M}$ and we have $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{M}) \cong \mathrm{Nil}_{\mathcal{M}} \rtimes_E G$.

7.4 Aut($\mathcal{M}$) as a Fréchet–Lie group

Just as the diffeomorphism group of a compact manifold is modeled on the Lie algebra of smooth vector fields, we will model $\mathrm{SDiff}(\mathcal{M})$ on the superrepresentable $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$-module $\mathcal{X}(\mathcal{M})$ of super vector fields on $\mathcal{M}$. Consequently, we are seeking for a Lie group structure on $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{M}) = \mathrm{SDiff}(\mathcal{M})(\Lambda_0)$, which is modeled on $\mathfrak{aut}(\mathcal{M}) := \widehat{\Gamma}(\mathcal{X}(\mathcal{M}))(\Lambda_0) = \mathcal{X}(\mathcal{M})_{\bar{0}}$ (cf. Section 4). Pulling back a chart for this Lie group structure along the terminal morphism $\epsilon_\Lambda : \Lambda \to \Lambda_0$ then provides us with charts for a Lie group structure on each $\mathrm{SDiff}(\Lambda)$. Since this construction is functorial we will end up with a super Lie group structure on SDiff.

For the following construction we choose a vector bundle (E, ξ) associated with $\mathcal{M}$ as in Section 7.1 and note that for a different choice (E, ξ') we have $\xi = \gamma \circ \xi'$ for an automorphism $\gamma : \Lambda^\bullet E \to \Lambda^\bullet E$. We shall use γ later on to show that the smooth structure on $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{M})$ does not depend on the choice of ξ. We use ξ to identify $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{M})$ with $\mathrm{Aut}(\Lambda^\bullet E)$, where the latter group denotes fiberwise algebra automorphisms preserving the $\mathbb{Z}_2$-grading. Then Corollary 7.2 yields the semidirect decomposition

$$\mathrm{Aut}(\Lambda^\bullet E) \cong \mathrm{Aut}(\Lambda^{\geq 2} E) \rtimes \mathrm{Aut}(E)$$

with respect to the natural action of $\mathrm{Aut}(E)$ on $\Lambda^{\geq 2} E$. Now Proposition 7.1 yields a bijective exponential function

$$\exp : \mathfrak{aut}(\Lambda^{\geq 2} E) \to \mathrm{Aut}(\Lambda^{\geq 2} E),$$

where $\mathfrak{aut}(\Lambda^{\geq 2} E)$ denotes the even derivations of $\Lambda^{\geq 2} E$. We have seen in Section 7.2 how to put on $\mathfrak{aut}(\Lambda^{\geq 2} E)$ the structure of a Fréchet algebra and the induced smooth structure on $\mathrm{Aut}(\Lambda^{\geq 2} E)$ turns it into a Fréchet-Lie group. It thus remains to put a smooth structure on $\mathrm{Aut}(E)$ and to show that the induced action is smooth.

Theorem 7.3. *If $E \to M$ is a finite-dimensional vector bundle over the compact manifold M, then $\mathrm{Aut}(E)$ can be given the structure of a Fréchet–Lie group, modelled on the Fréchet space*

$$\mathfrak{gau}(E) \oplus \mathcal{V}(M),$$

where $\mathfrak{gau}(E)$ *denotes the Lie algebra of sections in the endomorphism bundle* $\operatorname{end}(E)$ *and* $\mathcal{V}(M)$ *the Lie algebra of vector fields on* M*, both endowed with the natural* C^∞*-topology.*

Proof. Since E is finite-dimensional its frame bundle F_E is so. The latter is a principal $\mathrm{GL}(V)$-bundle, where V denotes the typical fiber of E and the construction from [Woc07] yields a smooth structure on $\mathrm{Aut}(F_E)$, modeled on $\mathfrak{gau}(E) \oplus \mathcal{V}(M)$. Using the canonical isomorphism $\mathrm{Aut}(F_E) \cong \mathrm{Aut}(E)$ then induces a smooth structure on $\mathrm{Aut}(E)$. □

Note that the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{aut}(E)$ of $\mathrm{Aut}(E)$ is only isomorphic to $\mathfrak{gau}(E) \oplus \mathcal{V}(M)$ as a vector space but not as a Lie algebra. In general, one only has an extension

$$0 \to \mathfrak{gau}(E) \to \mathfrak{aut}(E) \to \mathcal{V}(M) \to 0$$

of Fréchet–Lie algebras, which does *not* split. Moreover, charts for the smooth structure are not very handsome for in general they cannot come from an exponential function. However, restricting to the normal subalgebra $\mathfrak{gau}(E) \trianglelefteq \mathfrak{aut}(E)$ of sections in the endomorphism bundle, we have an exponential funtion

$$\exp : \mathfrak{gau}(E) \to \mathrm{Gau}(E),$$

where $\mathrm{Gau}(E)$ denotes the group of vertical bundle automorphisms of E. This exponential function is given by taking the exponential function $\mathrm{End}(V) \to \mathrm{GL}(V)$ in each fiber and may be used to obtain a chart for the normal subgroup $\mathrm{Gau}(E)$ (cf. [Woc07, Th. 1.11]). The inconvenience in the construction of a chart on $\mathrm{Aut}(E)$ now comes from extending the chart on $\mathrm{Gau}(E)$ to $\mathrm{Aut}(E)$, which mainly involves the construction of a chart of $\mathrm{Diff}(M)$ on $\mathcal{V}(M)$ (cf. [Woc07, Sect. 2]).

Corollary 7.4. *If* $\mathcal{M}$ *is a finite-dimensional supermanifold such that the underlying manifold* M *is compact, then* $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{M})$ *carries the structure of a Fréchet–Lie group. If* (E, ξ) *is a vector bundle associated with* $\mathcal{M}$*, then* $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{M})$ *is modeled on*

$$\mathfrak{aut}(\Lambda^{\geq 2}E) \oplus \mathfrak{gau}(E) \oplus \mathcal{V}(M).$$

Proof. The preceding theorem yields a smooth structure on $\mathrm{Aut}(E)$ and the bijective exponential function $\exp : \mathfrak{aut}(\Lambda^{\geq 2}E) \to \mathrm{Aut}(\Lambda^{\geq 2}E)$

induces a smooth structure on $\mathrm{Aut}(\Lambda^{\geq 2}E)$. The induced action of $\mathrm{Aut}(E)$ on $\mathrm{Aut}(\Lambda^{\geq 2}E)$ is smooth, because the actions of $\mathrm{Gau}(E)$ on $\mathfrak{gau}(E)$ and of $\mathrm{Diff}(M)$ on $C^\infty(M)$ are smooth, and on a unit neighborhood the $\mathrm{Aut}(E)$-action is given (in local coordinates) in terms of the $\mathrm{Gau}(E)$ and $\mathrm{Diff}(M)$-actions. From this it follows that

$$\mathrm{Aut}(\Lambda^\bullet E) \cong \mathrm{Aut}(\Lambda^{\geq 2}E) \rtimes \mathrm{Aut}(E)$$

carries a Lie group structure, modeled on $\mathfrak{aut}(\Lambda^{\geq 2}E)\oplus\mathfrak{gau}(E)\oplus\mathcal{V}(M)$. Now $\xi : \underline{\Lambda^\bullet E} \to \mathcal{M}$ induces an isomorphism $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{M}) \to \mathrm{Aut}(\underline{\Lambda^\bullet E}) \cong \mathrm{Aut}(\Lambda^{\bullet E})$. Since two different ξ differ by an equivalence of $\underline{\Lambda^\bullet E}$ the smooth structure does not depend on this choice if we use ξ to transport this structure from $\mathrm{Aut}(\Lambda^\bullet E)$ to $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{M})$. □

7.5 Charts on SDiff($\mathcal{M}$)

Denote by $\mathcal{X}(\mathcal{M})$ the superrepresentable $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$-module of sections of the tangent bundle of $\mathcal{M}$. As we have seen, $\mathcal{X}(\mathcal{M})$ is nothing else than the $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$-module associated with the super vector space of vector fields on $\mathcal{M}$. To equip $\mathrm{SDiff}(\mathcal{M})$ with a supersmooth Lie group structure, modeled on $\mathcal{X}(\mathcal{M})$, we start with an open zero neighborhood $U \subseteq \mathfrak{aut}(\mathcal{M})$ and a chart $\Phi : V \to U$ for some open unit neighborhood V of $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{M})$. This defines an open subfunctor

$$\overline{U} : \mathsf{Gr} \to \mathsf{Top}, \quad \begin{cases} \Lambda \mapsto \mathcal{X}(\mathcal{M})(\epsilon_\Lambda)^{-1}(U) & \text{on objects} \\ \varphi \mapsto \mathcal{X}(\mathcal{M})(\varphi)|_{\mathcal{X}(\mathcal{M})(\epsilon_\Lambda)^{-1}(U)} & \text{on morphisms} \end{cases}$$

of $\mathcal{X}(\mathcal{M})$(note that each open subfunctor is of this kind, cf. [Sac08, Prop. 4.8]). Likewise, we obtain a subfunctor

$$\overline{V} : \mathsf{Gr} \to \mathsf{Sets}, \quad \begin{cases} \Lambda \mapsto \mathrm{SDiff}(\mathcal{M})(\epsilon_\Lambda)^{-1}(V) & \text{on objects} \\ \varphi \mapsto \mathrm{SDiff}(\mathcal{M})(\varphi)|_{\mathrm{SDiff}(\mathcal{M})(\epsilon_\Lambda)^{-1}(V)} & \text{on morphisms} \end{cases} \tag{25}$$

of $\mathrm{SDiff}(\mathcal{M})$. We now wish to set up a Lie group structure on each $\mathrm{SDiff}(\mathcal{M})(\Lambda)$ such that $\overline{V}$ becomes an open subfunctor and such that we have a functorial isomorphism $\Phi_\Lambda : \overline{V}(\Lambda) \to \overline{U}(\Lambda)$ such that each Φ_Λ is a chart for the Lie group structure on $\mathrm{SDiff}(\mathcal{M})(\Lambda)$. This then yields a super Lie group structure on SDiff.

As in Lemma 6.3, the initial and final morphisms in Gr furnish $\mathcal{X}(\mathcal{M})$ with a functorial decomposition $\mathcal{X}(\mathcal{M})(\Lambda) \cong \mathfrak{n}(\mathcal{M})(\Lambda)\rtimes\mathfrak{aut}(\mathcal{M})$, where $\mathfrak{n}(\mathcal{M})(\Lambda) := \ker(\mathcal{X}(\mathcal{M})(\epsilon_\Lambda))$ and $\mathfrak{aut}(\mathcal{M}) = \mathcal{X}(\mathcal{M})_{\bar{0}}$ is the Lie

algebra of $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{M})$. Since $\mathfrak{n}(\mathcal{M})(\Lambda)$ is the subspace of $\mathcal{X}(\mathcal{M})(\Lambda)$ consisting of elements proportional to (products of) odd generators of Λ, Proposition 5.4 yields a bijective exponential function

$$\exp_\Lambda : \mathfrak{n}(\mathcal{M})(\Lambda) \to \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{M})(\Lambda),$$

which we use to endow each $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{M})(\Lambda)$ with a smooth structure. As in Section 7.4 one observes that the $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{M})$-action on $\mathcal{X}(\mathcal{M})_{\bar{0}}$ and $\mathcal{X}(\mathcal{M})_{\bar{1}}$ is smooth and thus that the action of $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{M})$ on $\mathfrak{n}(\Lambda)$ is smooth. Thus $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{M})$ also acts smoothly on $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{M})(\Lambda)$ and therefore each $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{M})(\Lambda) \rtimes \mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{M})$ becomes an infinite-dimensional Lie group, modeled on $\mathcal{X}(\mathcal{M})(\Lambda)$. A chart for this Lie group structure is given by

$$\log_\Lambda \times \Phi : \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{M})(\Lambda) \times V \to \mathfrak{n}(\mathcal{M})(\Lambda) \times U,$$

where $\log_\Lambda$ denotes the inverse map to $\exp_\Lambda$.

Proposition 7.5. *Endowing each* $\mathrm{SDiff}(\mathcal{M})(\Lambda)$ *with the topology just described turns* $\mathrm{SDiff}(\mathcal{M})$ *into a functor* $\mathsf{Gr} \to \mathsf{Man}_{\mathrm{Fr}}$*, where* $\mathsf{Man}_{\mathrm{Fr}}$ *denotes the category of Fréchet manifolds.*

Proof. We only have to verify that $\mathrm{SDiff}(\mathcal{M})(\varphi)$ becomes a smooth morphism for each $\varphi : \Lambda \to \Lambda'$. From the construction of SDiff it follows that its restriction to $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{M})(\Lambda) \times V$ is given by

$$\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{M})(\varphi) \times \mathrm{id}_V.$$

It thus suffices to verify that the restriction to $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{M})(\Lambda)$ is smooth, whose coordinate representation is $\mathfrak{n}(\mathcal{M})(\varphi)$. Since the latter map is linear and continuous it is in particular smooth. □

Proposition 7.6. *For each chart* $\Phi : V \to U$ *of* $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{M})$ *the functor* $\overline{V}$ *as defined in* (25) *is an open subfunctor (with respect to the smooth structure just described). Moreover, the assignment* $\Lambda \mapsto \log_\Lambda \times \Phi$ *constitutes a natural isomorphism* $\overline{V} \to \overline{U}$ *of functors* $\mathsf{Gr} \to \mathsf{Man}_{\mathrm{Fr}}$.

Proof. Since $\overline{U}(\Lambda) = U \times \mathfrak{n}(\mathcal{M})(\Lambda)$ for all Λ, $\overline{U}$ is an open subfunctor of $\mathcal{X}(\mathcal{M})$. On the other hand we have given $\overline{V}$ the topology pulled back from $\overline{U}$ via the bijection $\log_\Lambda \times \Phi$ where $\Phi : V \to U$ is the underlying chart on $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{M})$ so $\overline{V}$ is open.

The very same argument applies to the smooth structure: we have endowed $\overline{V}$ with the smooth structure pulled back from $\overline{U}$, turning $\log_\Lambda \times \Phi$ and $\exp_\Lambda \times \Phi^{-1}$ into mutually inverse diffeomorphisms. □

Eventually, we may conclude the following theorem.

Theorem 7.7. $\mathrm{SDiff}(\mathcal{M})$ *is a Fréchet super Lie group, modeled on the superrepresentable* $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$*-module of (even and odd) vector fields* $\mathcal{X}(\mathcal{M})$*.*

Proof. Proposition 7.5 turns $\mathrm{SDiff}(\mathcal{M})$ into a functor $\mathsf{Gr} \to \mathsf{Man}_{\mathrm{Fr}}$ and Proposition 7.6 extends the chart V around the identity on $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{M})$ to a superchart on $\mathrm{SDiff}(\mathcal{M})$. This superchart $\overline{V}$ can be translated to a superchart around any $\varphi \in \mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{M})$: since $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{M})$ canonically embeds into each of the groups $\mathrm{SDiff}(\mathcal{M})(\Lambda)$, φ acts on each of the points $\overline{V}(\Lambda)$ by left and right translation.

It remains to be shown that the transition functions between the charts obtained in this way are supersmooth. The components are clearly smooth, so we just have to check the $\Lambda_{\bar{0}}$-linearity of the differential.

It is sufficient to study the intersection of $\overline{V}$ and a chart $R_{\psi_0}\overline{V}$ obtained from it by, say, right translation with an element $\psi_0 \in \mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{M})$. Then we see from (26) that every element in $R_{\psi_0}\overline{V}(\Lambda_n)$ is of the form

$$\exp(\sum_{I\subseteq\{1,\dots,n\}} \tau_I X_I) \circ \varphi_0 \circ \psi_0.$$

If such an element lies in $\overline{V}(\Lambda_n)$ as well then the transition function will only affect the underlying part by identifying $\varphi_0 \circ \psi_0$ with some other φ_0' in $\overline{V}(\mathbb{R})$. On the nilpotent part $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{M})(\Lambda_n)$ in $\overline{V}(\Lambda_n) \cong V \times \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{M})(\Lambda_n)$ (that is an isomorphism in $\mathsf{Man}^{\mathsf{Gr}}$) the transition function acts as the identity. Its differential is thus the identity as well and therefore in particular $\Lambda_{\bar{0}}$-linear.

Had we instead used left translation to produce a superchart $L_{\psi_0}\overline{V}$ then we would have found

$$\psi_0 \circ \exp(\sum_{I\subseteq\{1,\dots,n\}} \tau_I X_I) \circ \varphi_0 = \exp(\sum_{I\subseteq\{1,\dots,n\}} \tau_I \, d\psi_0^{-1}(X_I)) \circ \psi_0 \circ \varphi_0.$$

So in this case the transition function acts as $d\psi_0^{-1}$ on $\mathfrak{n}(\Lambda_n) \subset \mathcal{X}(\mathcal{M})(\Lambda_n)$. Since $d\varphi_0$ and its inverse are by definition extended to $\mathcal{X}(\mathcal{M})(\Lambda)$ as Λ_0-linear maps the differential of the transition map is again $\Lambda_{\bar{0}}$-linear. □

7.6 Supersmoothness of the Group multiplication

Until now we have only turned $\mathrm{SDiff}(\mathcal{M})$ into a super manifold, but we actually want to turn it into a Lie supergorup. For this we have to show

that the multiplication functor actually is supersmooth. Given a function $f \in C^\infty(\mathcal{M})$, an automorphism $\varphi_0 \in \mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{M}) \cong \mathrm{Aut}(C^\infty(\mathcal{M}))$ and a vector field $X \in \mathcal{X}(\mathcal{M}) \cong \mathrm{Der}(C^\infty(\mathcal{M}))$ we have

$$X \circ \varphi_0(f) = (\varphi_0 \circ \varphi_0^{-1} \circ X \circ \varphi_0)(X) = \varphi_0 \circ (d\varphi_0^{-1}(X))(f),$$

(cf. (9)). For X an even derivation, $(\varphi_0, X) \mapsto d\varphi_0(X)$ is the adjoint action of $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{M})$ its Lie algebra $\mathcal{X}(\mathcal{M})_{\bar{0}}$ and thus the action of $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{M})$ on $\mathcal{X}(\mathcal{M})$ is smooth.

Now Theorem 5.4 permits us to derive an explicit formula for the group multiplication in coordinates. Let

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi &= \exp(\sum_{I \subseteq \{1,\dots,n\}} \tau_I X_I) \circ \varphi_0, \\ \psi &= \exp(\sum_{J \subseteq \{1,\dots,n\}} \tau_J Y_J) \circ \psi_0 \end{aligned}$$

be two Λ_n-points of $\mathrm{SDiff}(\mathcal{M})$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi \circ \psi &= \exp(\sum_{I \subseteq \{1,\dots,n\}} \tau_I X_I) \circ \varphi_0 \circ \exp(\sum_{J \subseteq \{1,\dots,n\}} \tau_J Y_J) \circ \psi_0 \qquad (26) \\ &= \exp(\sum_{I \subseteq \{1,\dots,n\}} \tau_I X_I) \circ \exp(\sum_{J \subseteq \{1,\dots,n\}} \tau_J \, d\varphi_0^{-1}(Y_J)) \circ \varphi_0 \circ \psi_0. \end{aligned}$$

For $\psi_0 = \varphi_0^{-1}$ and $X_I = -Y_I$ one recovers the inversion formula (17) for superdiffeomorphisms.

In the next section we will show that $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{M})$ can be turned into a Fréchet Lie group acting smoothly on vector fields. Assuming this we can show

Proposition 7.8. *The group multiplication in* $\mathrm{SDiff}(\mathcal{M})$ *is supersmooth.*

Proof. From the above formula it is evident that the multiplication morphism is smooth in every Λ_n-point, so it remains to check that it is also supersmooth.

To see that the differential of the multiplication is $\Lambda_{\bar{0}}$-linear it is sufficient to check that the differentials of left and right translation are $\Lambda_{\bar{0}}$-linear. To check that it is in turn enough to see that the action of a superdiffeomorphism $\varphi \in \mathrm{SDiff}(\mathcal{M})(\Lambda)$ on $\mathcal{X}(\mathcal{M})(\Lambda)$ is a $\Lambda_{\bar{0}}$-linear map.

This is shown in [Sac09]. More precisely it is shown that φ acts on a super vector field Y by its differential

$$d\varphi(Y) \quad = \quad \exp(-\sum_{I\subseteq\{1,\ldots,n\}} \tau_I L_{X_I}) \circ d\varphi_0(Y)$$

where L_X denotes the Lie derivative, i.e., the commutator of vector fields in this case. This action is extended to all of $\mathcal{X}(\mathcal{M})(\Lambda)$ in the usual way (i.e., by means of the functor $\bar{\cdot}$, cf. (1)). This means the action of φ on $\mathcal{X}(\mathcal{M})(\Lambda)$ consists of a composition of $d\varphi_0$ and brackets and is therefore by construction $\Lambda_{\bar{0}}$-linear. □

References

[Bat79] Marjorie Batchelor. The structure of supermanifolds. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 253:329–338, 1979.

[DM99] Pierre Deligne and John W. Morgan. Notes on supersymmetry. In *Quantum fields and strings: a course for mathematicians, Vol. 1, 2 (Princeton, NJ, 1996/1997)*, pages 41–97. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1999.

[ML98] Saunders Mac Lane. *Categories for the working mathematician*, volume 5 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, New York, second edition, 1998.

[Mol84] Vladimir Molotkov. Infinite-dimensnional Z_2^k super manifolds. ICTP Preprint, 1994. available from http://ccdb4fs.kek.jp/cgi-bin/img/allpdf?198506284.

[Mol05] Vladimir Molotkov. Private communication.

[Lan02] Serge Lang. *Algebra. Revised Third Edition*, volume 211 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 2002.

[Nee06] Karl-Hermann Neeb. Towards a Lie theory of locally convex groups. *Jpn. J. Math.*, 1(2):291–468, 2006.

[Omo78] Hideki Omori. On Banach-Lie groups acting on finite dimensional manifolds. *Tôhoku Math. J. (2)*, 30(2):223–250, 1978.

[Sac08] Christoph Sachse. A Categorical Formulation of Superalgebra and Supergeometry, 2008.

[Sac09] Christoph Sachse. *Global Analytic Approach to Super Teichmüller Spaces*, PhD thesis, Universität Leipzig, 2007

[vdE00] Arno van den Essen. *Polynomial automorphisms and the Jacobian conjecture*, volume 190 of *Progress in Mathematics*. Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 2000.

[Woc07] Christoph Wockel. Lie group structures on symmetry groups of principal bundles. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 251(1):254–288, 2007.